

# Using stable isotopes to follow excreta N dynamics and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in animal production systems

T. J. Clough<sup>1†</sup>, C. Müller<sup>2</sup> and R. J. Laughlin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil and Physical Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand; <sup>2</sup>Institut für Pflanzenökologie, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32 (IFZ), D-35392 Giessen, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Newforge Lane, Belfast, BT9 5PX Northern Ireland, UK

(Received 13 February 2013; Accepted 4 April 2013)

---

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a potent greenhouse gas and the dominant anthropogenic stratospheric ozone-depleting emission. The tropospheric concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O continues to increase, with animal production systems constituting the largest anthropogenic source. Stable isotopes of nitrogen (N) provide tools for constraining emission sources and, following the temporal dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O, providing additional insight and unequivocal proof of N<sub>2</sub>O source, production pathways and consumption. The potential for using stable isotopes of N is underutilised. The intent of this article is to provide an overview of what these tools are and demonstrate where and how these tools could be applied to advance the mitigation of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from animal production systems. Nitrogen inputs and outputs are dominated by fertiliser and excreta, respectively, both of which are substrates for N<sub>2</sub>O production. These substrates can be labelled with <sup>15</sup>N to enable the substrate-N to be traced and linked to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Thus, the effects of changes to animal production systems to reduce feed-N wastage by animals and fertiliser wastage, aimed at N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation and/or improved animal or economic performance, can be traced. Further <sup>15</sup>N-tracer studies are required to fully understand the dynamics and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes associated with excreta, and the biological contribution to these fluxes. These data are also essential for the new generation of <sup>15</sup>N models. Recent technique developments in isotopomer science along with stable isotope probing using multiple isotopes also offer exciting capability for addressing the N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation quest.

---

**Keywords:** <sup>15</sup>N, climate change, excreta, nitrogen isotopes, nitrous oxide

## Implications

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a potent greenhouse gas and the leading stratospheric ozone-depleting emission. Its concentration continues to increase, predominantly owing to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions associated with animal production systems. The number of farmed animals will increase to satisfy growing global population demand. Mitigation is required. Tools to identify mitigation options include the stable isotopes of nitrogen (<sup>15</sup>N). Past and recently developed <sup>15</sup>N methodologies provide tools to better understand the sources of N<sub>2</sub>O, while tracing the substrates responsible for N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes over space and time through the environment. Integrating the skill sets and efforts of microbiologists, soil and animal scientists will achieve this.

## Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a greenhouse gas and the dominant stratospheric ozone-depleting substance emitted by humans

in the twenty-first century (Ravishankara *et al.*, 2009). Concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O have increased since 1800 and continue to do so at 0.26% per annum (Forster *et al.*, 2007). Anthropogenic emissions (6.7 Tg/year) represent 40% of the total annual (17.7 Tg/year) global emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O (Forster *et al.*, 2007). The main anthropogenically derived substrates for N<sub>2</sub>O production include synthetic and organic fertilisers and excreta; thus, agricultural production of N<sub>2</sub>O (2.8 Tg/year) dominates anthropogenic emission sources (Denman *et al.*, 2007; Schils *et al.*, 2013). Davidson (2009) showed that the observed increase in tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O between 1860 and 2005 could be explained by 2.0% of manure nitrogen (N) and 2.5% of fertiliser N being emitted as N<sub>2</sub>O over this period. Oenema *et al.* (2005) partitioned N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from animal production systems (1.5 Tg/year) into five sources: dung and urine from grazing animals deposited in pastures (41%), indirect sources (27%), animal wastes from housing and storage (19%), application of animal wastes to land (10%) and the burning of dung (3%). The majority of these N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from agriculture occur as a result of excreta being deposited onto soil where microbial reactions, driven by nitrifiers and denitrifiers,

† E-mail: Tim.Clough@lincoln.ac.nz

are the major biological pathways for N<sub>2</sub>O. The Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations predicts the demand for animal products to increase, and thus animal numbers are projected to increase significantly (OECD\_FAO, 2012). Given the current trends in tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and the predicted increases as a result of increasing animal-based agricultural production, it is urgent that a fuller understanding of the sources, processes and management factors that contribute to these agriculturally based N<sub>2</sub>O emissions is developed. Improved knowledge will lead to mitigation options. It is necessary to differentiate agricultural N inputs from N forms found in the soil and which can also contribute to N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. This permits the tracing of a particular N input through the agroecosystem concerned, over time, enabling a full and detailed understanding of the factors affecting N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, their duration and magnitude. This article aims to increase awareness of the stable isotopes of N and the vital role they can play in distinguishing N<sub>2</sub>O sources and fate in animal production systems. Specific reference to methodologies for preparing <sup>15</sup>N-enriched samples for analysis and other practical considerations may be found in the literature (Knowles and Blackburn, 1993; Hauck *et al.*, 1994).

### Stable isotopes of nitrogen

The number of protons in an element (equal to the atomic number 'Z') is constant, but the number of neutrons (the neutron number 'Nn') may vary. Isotopes of a given element differ from one another owing to the number of neutrons they contain. This variation in neutron number does not affect the gross chemical properties of the element. The mass of an element (the sum of Z + Nn) is the superscripted number to the left of the element designation (Kendall and Caldwell, 1998). Thus, for N with an atomic number of 7, the stable isotopes have mass numbers of 14 (<sup>14</sup>N with seven neutrons) and 15 (<sup>15</sup>N with eight neutrons). These N isotopes occur naturally in the environment (Sharp, 2007). In air, the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N is constant with a <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratio equal to 1/272 or 0.3676% (Junk and Svec, 1958).

Further terms used when studying the isotopic composition of molecules include the words 'isotopologue' and 'isotopomer'. Isotopologues, as defined by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, are molecules differing from one another only because of isotopic composition (Sharp, 2007). For example, N<sub>2</sub>O with mass 44 (<sup>14</sup>N + <sup>14</sup>N + <sup>16</sup>O) and mass 45 (<sup>14</sup>N + <sup>15</sup>N + <sup>16</sup>O) are isotopologues of N<sub>2</sub>O. However, isotopomers always have the same number of each isotopic atom and as a consequence always have the same mass (Sharp, 2007). For example, the N<sub>2</sub>O molecules comprising <sup>14</sup>N + <sup>15</sup>N + <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>15</sup>N + <sup>14</sup>N + <sup>16</sup>O are isotopomers of N<sub>2</sub>O (see below).

The stable isotopes of N provide a unique research tool to elucidate the N<sub>2</sub>O emission pathways and N<sub>2</sub>O fate. Scientists may use N compounds that have been enriched in <sup>15</sup>N. This means that more <sup>15</sup>N has been added to the substrate of interest before its use in the experimental set-up.

Such experiments may be described as 'tracer experiments' where the extra <sup>15</sup>N added, that is, the enrichment, is far in excess of the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N, thus permitting the scientist to 'trace' the flow of <sup>15</sup>N through the ecosystem of interest. Alternatively, the scientist may elect to use the <sup>15</sup>N already existing in the ecosystem of concern, at natural levels of abundance, and these are termed 'natural abundance' experiments. Initially, the use of <sup>15</sup>N-enriched tracer will be discussed followed by natural abundance. Fractionation causes changes in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N and this is considered below.

### <sup>15</sup>N-enriched tracer studies

As with any experiment, the rationale and aims of a <sup>15</sup>N-tracer study need to be clearly thought out. In a tracer experiment, the information gathered from the measurements made includes not only the concentrations of N in the various N pools (e.g. N<sub>2</sub>O μl/l) but also the level of <sup>15</sup>N enrichment (e.g. N<sub>2</sub>O atom% <sup>15</sup>N). The term 'atom% <sup>15</sup>N enrichment' is an expression that denotes the concentration of <sup>15</sup>N as a percentage of the total mass of stable N atoms (<sup>14</sup>N + <sup>15</sup>N) and is calculated numerically as:

$$\text{atom \% } ^{15}\text{N} = \frac{\text{No. of } ^{15}\text{N atoms}}{\text{No. of } ^{14}\text{N} + ^{15}\text{N atoms}} \times \frac{100}{1} \quad (1)$$

Although several methods may be used to measure the atom% <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of a sample (e.g. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) determination of <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>O), the most common method to date has been isotope-ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS). Rather than measuring individual atoms to determine atom% <sup>15</sup>N, the mass spectrometer measures the molecules of interest on the basis of their mass, which is a function of their isotopic composition. If the sample is a solid, it must first be combusted to produce N<sub>2</sub>, and then it can be carried in a He flow through the mass spectrometer. Similarly, dissolved N forms, such as inorganic-N, also need to be converted either to a solid form, and then to a gas (Stark and Hart, 1996). Alternatively, the sample may already be in a gaseous state (Stevens and Laughlin, 1994; Laughlin *et al.*, 1997). A detailed explanation of mass spectrometry is beyond the scope of this paper and the reader is directed to other sources (e.g. Mulvaney, 1993; Sharp, 2007). In brief, the gas molecule is introduced into the mass spectrometer's 'ion source' where a fraction of the gas molecules are ionised. The positively charged ions are then moved through a magnetic field with the positively charged ions deflected in a circular trajectory, on the basis of their mass to charge ratio (*m/z*). The charged ions are collected in Faraday cups, thus forming ion currents (I) that are proportional to the quantity of gas. For the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule, the masses of interest are 44, 45 and 46, and for N<sub>2</sub> these masses are 28, 29 and 30. For a given molecular species, the ion currents are used to produce ratios (R). Therefore, for N<sub>2</sub>, the ratios <sup>29</sup>R (<sup>29</sup>I/<sup>28</sup>I) and <sup>30</sup>R (<sup>30</sup>I/<sup>28</sup>I) are derived (Stevens *et al.*, 1993). For solid samples, the ratios <sup>29</sup>R and <sup>30</sup>R, which are derived from the combusted

materials, can be used in the appropriate equations to determine the atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment (Mulvaney, 1993). Further consideration must also be given to comparing these ratios against standards and determining instrument factors, so that only the true ratio differences between normal and enriched atmospheres are used when deriving gaseous N fluxes (Stevens *et al.*, 1993; Stevens and Laughlin, 1998). A major focus of many  $^{15}\text{N}$ -isotopic studies is to derive  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes from the soil nitrate pool. Further detailed explanations of the assumptions, derivations and implementation of the ratios used when determining denitrification of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and the respective calculation of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched fluxes of  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , can be found in the literature (Mulvaney and Boast, 1986; Boast *et al.*, 1988; Mosier and Schimel, 1993; Mulvaney, 1993; Stevens *et al.*, 1993; Stevens and Laughlin, 1998; Bergsma *et al.*, 2001). The ion currents at  $m/z$  44, 45 and 46 are used to calculate the concentration of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , in conjunction with a reference gas, whereas its  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment is calculated from ratios  $^{45}\text{R}$  or  $^{46}\text{R}$  (Stevens *et al.*, 1993; Stevens and Laughlin, 1998).

#### *Using $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched substrates in tracer studies*

A potential artefact when using  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer studies is that the application of a relatively large rate of N may unnaturally perturb the system under investigation. Fortunately, in agroecosystems, this is less of an issue, as substrates containing  $^{15}\text{N}$ , used in tracer studies to follow  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{N}_2$  emissions, generally consist of N forms such as fertilisers, excreta (dung and urine) and plant residues, which by their very nature perturb the system. Therefore, these types of N substrates are potentially ideal for use in  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer studies, if suitable enrichment of the substrate can be undertaken.

Once the experiment has been conceived, the experimental treatments must be refined. The first step is to consider the rate of N required in any given treatment. The rationale for this is similar to any non- $^{15}\text{N}$  experimentation where an N substrate is being added. Thought then needs to go into determining which of the various N pools the  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer will be measured in, how often the N pools will be measured and what will be the period of the experiment. Naturally, the type of N substrate being applied will also have a bearing on the N pools being measured and their frequency of measurement. If the  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer is to be followed in multiple pools (e.g. soil, plant and gases) over time, dilution of the  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer may occur immediately in the soil, because of antecedent N, or at a slower rate because of other N inputs resulting from management and/or soil-N mineralisation. The  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment in the N pool of interest may also be diluted because of the pool of background  $^{14}\text{N}$  being significantly larger than the  $^{15}\text{N}$  pool evolving (e.g.  $^{15}\text{N}_2$  evolving into ambient air). Alternatively, significant loss of the  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer may occur early in the experiment. For example, if the experiment aims to follow the contribution of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched urea to an  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  flux, then allowance needs to be made for a significant fraction of the urea fertiliser (~20%), and the  $^{15}\text{N}$  embodied in it, to be potentially lost within hours of the experiment, starting as a result of ammonia

volatilisation. Thus, the N pool(s) of interest, dilution and early loss of  $^{15}\text{N}$  from the experimental system need to be considered when deciding on the level of  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment to use.

Finally, the last factor to consider when determining what level of  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment to use is the sensitivity of the mass spectrometer (Stevens *et al.*, 1993). The more sensitive the mass spectrometer is, the lower the required  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment, all things being equal. The experimental set-up and environmental conditions may also determine the level of enrichment to use. When measuring gas fluxes from soils with headspace chambers, the sensitivity increases with high gas fluxes and smaller headspaces (large surface area to volume ratios). Stevens *et al.* (1993) provide an excellent example of how to determine sensitivity for a mass spectrometer. As  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment and gas fluxes decrease, the coefficient of variation will increase and data quality will suffer (Stevens *et al.*, 1993). For example, using an enclosure time of 2 h with an enclosure volume: surface area ratio of 5 : 1, and a  $\text{NO}_3^-$ - $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of 60 atom% Stevens and Laughlin (1998) reported a limit of detection for  $\text{N}_2$  fluxes of 3.5 g  $\text{N}_2\text{-N}/\text{ha}$  per day. A point not always recognised by researchers using  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment to measure  $\text{N}_2$  fluxes is the requirement to be able to measure both the  $^{29}\text{R}$  and  $^{30}\text{R}$  ratio. In order to do this, there must be both  $^{14}\text{N}$  and  $^{15}\text{N}$  present. Thus, starting an experiment with a substrate that is 98 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$  enriched (a commonly available  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment), and with little or no potential for  $^{15}\text{N}$  dilution, reduces the chances of measuring robust  $^{29}\text{R}$  and  $^{30}\text{R}$  ratios.

Fractionation results from the differential responses of stable isotopes in either kinetic reactions, where lighter isotopes tend to react faster, or exchange reactions, where heavy isotopes concentrate where bonds are strongest (Fry, 2006). The result is that products have a lighter isotopic composition owing to the fractionation process. The degree of fractionation can be calculated as a fractionation factor. Detailed discussion of fractionation factors is beyond the scope of this article and the reader is directed to other articles (Fry, 2006; Sharp, 2007). It has been experimentally shown that the isotopic fractionation during the production of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  via denitrification may vary with the  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of the nitrate substrate; however, the effect of such isotopic fractionation was shown to be negligible if the enrichment of the substrate was greater than 0.6 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$  (Mathieu *et al.*, 2007). It has also been shown that isotopic fractionation does not bias quantifications of gross N transformations in modelling studies when isotopic  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment is used (Rütting, 2012). Thus, the use of high levels of  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment avoids any bias owing to isotopic fractionation.

#### *Labelling of plant materials/fertiliser/ruminant excreta with $^{15}\text{N}$*

The  $^{15}\text{N}$  content of soil inorganic-N, fertilisers, excreta, plants and gases can all be enriched in order to follow the fate of these compounds in the environment. The degree of enrichment required depends on the material being enriched and the proposed nature of the ensuing experiment. Labelling of dairy cow manure or slurry is generally performed by feeding animals herbage that has been fertilised with

$^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched fertilisers or by feeding  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched urea. Powell *et al.* (2004) describe in detail a *forage* method where feeding of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched forage resulted in an increase in the  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of the urine, the endogenous N (microbes and microbial products from the rumen, intestine and hind gut plus digestive tract N) and the undigested feed N. Silage components had  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichments of 1.17 to 6.44 and the resulting manure was  $\sim 2.5$  atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$ . They also describe a *urea* method where  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched urea was fed to ruminally fistulated cows that resulted in  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of the urine and the endogenous N but not the undigested feed N, as no  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched feed was provided (Powell *et al.*, 2004). Single dosing with 5 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$  urea produced urine  $\sim 1.25$  atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$ , whereas repeated 50 g doses at regular intervals produced urine  $\sim 1.25$  to 2.15 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$ . Of particular interest in a study by Powell *et al.* (2004) are the results showing the time that elapses between administering the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched forage or urea and the observed peak in  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of the excreta. Lampe *et al.* (2006) produced  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched (0.72 atom%) slurry by feeding steers  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched hay and maize silage. Yue *et al.* (2012) generated a more highly  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched manure by letting the animal's gut empty out for 2 days and then feeding mixed silage (26.6 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$ ), resulting in cattle manure with 8.0 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$ . Manures of other animal species (sheep and pigs) have been similarly labelled (Sorensen and Thomsen, 2005; Bosshard *et al.*, 2011).

The choice of method for  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelling excreta depends on the intention of the experiment. Obviously,  $^{15}\text{N}$  labelling of animal excreta is expensive and labour intensive, with due attention needed to be given to animal ethics. However, it provides a genuine urine or faeces matrix that is  $^{15}\text{N}$  labelled. In the case of urine, it avoids the researcher having to choose between synthetic urine mixtures where potential bias in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes may occur as a result (Kool *et al.*, 2006), or having to further dope collected unenriched urine with  $^{15}\text{N}$  urea to generate  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment in the collected urine. As seen from these results (Powell *et al.*, 2004), the degree of  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment is too low to follow evolution of  $\text{N}_2$  fluxes, which is ideally 40 to 60 atom%  $^{15}\text{N}$ , but it is sufficient to allow the detection of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  to partition the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  sources. An example of a study that used fresh urine labelled with  $^{15}\text{N}$  urea is that of Taghizadeh-Toosi *et al.* (2011) who, upon applying the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched urine to pasture, were able to partition  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  sources and show the presence of biochar-mitigated urine-derived  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, with the contribution of urine to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions lower in the presence of biochar.

The recent work by Jost *et al.* (2013) is highly relevant when choosing a method to look at manure contributions to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions. Jost *et al.* (2013) found that total  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were correlated with faecal microbial biomass N, showing the significance of including the endogenous N component. This implies that the *forage* method would be best, if the rationale for the experiment was to determine N fluxes from faeces (e.g.  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ). Others have collected manure and only labelled inorganic-N pools of the manure by adding  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched inorganic-N salts, for example, (Paul and Beauchamp, 1995) to trace N cycling of the inorganic-N.

Studies using  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled manures have been used to trace manure effects on N cycling in soils and agronomic effects (Berntsen *et al.*, 2007; Bosshard *et al.*, 2009; Bosshard *et al.*, 2011), but the number of studies that have included measures of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and its enrichment following manure, slurry or digestate applications are relatively few (Hauck *et al.*, 1994; Dittert *et al.*, 2001; Lampe *et al.*, 2006; Schouten *et al.*, 2012). The study by Dittert *et al.* (2001) is a good example of a study where  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracing was used to demonstrate the potential of a nitrification inhibitor (3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate) to reduce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from slurry injected into soil. In this instance, the dairy slurry was  $^{15}\text{N}$  enriched and the isotopic composition of the soil and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  pools were monitored. Besides having lower  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, the  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted was lower in the nitrification inhibitor treatment, indicating that less  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was derived from the slurry in this treatment. Further such studies are urgently required to assess management and mitigation strategies for reducing  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions. For example, the call to reduce excess N in the diet of the ruminants or the manipulation of the ruminant diet with feeds varying in C:N ratios will have implications for  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes from faeces that should be ascertained.

In comparison with generating  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled excreta, the production of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched plant residues is relatively straightforward. Plants are grown with appropriate nutrition and water (avoiding leaching events), generally in a sandy matrix to avoid  $^{14}\text{N}$  mineralisation from the soil organic-N pool diluting the  $^{15}\text{N}$  pool, with N nutrition provided by adding a  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched fertiliser. Again urea is best avoided so that  $^{15}\text{N}$  is not lost unnecessarily owing to  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilisation. Once the plant is at the required stage of growth, it may be harvested and used in  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer experiments. Previous results using  $^{15}\text{N}$  to apportion  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  sources have shown that emissions from plant residue applications can be short-lived (Frimpong and Baggs, 2010; Frimpong *et al.*, 2011). Ruminant grazing of pasture and forage crops causes fresh litterfall, as animals fail to ingest all harvested herbage (Lodge *et al.*, 2006; Campanella and Bisigato, 2010; Pal *et al.*, 2012). One study, replicating a grazing-induced litterfall event, used  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer to show that fresh litter deposition contributed to the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  flux (1% of N applied) from the soil surface and enriched the soil inorganic-N pool (Pal *et al.*, 2013). Experiments have also been conducted using  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2$  to study the fate of biologically fixed  $\text{N}_2$ . For example, Carter and Ambus (2006) showed that easily degradable clover residues (*Trifolium sp.*) made a minor contribution to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes. Other studies have shown that the dynamics of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions derived from  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled residues are impacted upon by earthworms (Giannopoulos *et al.*, 2011). Modelling of  $^{15}\text{N}$  studies conducted by Delgado *et al.* (2010) suggest that residues should not be treated in the same way as fertilisers in terms of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, and they call for more residue studies to examine  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  losses from agroecosystems. This will be done best by using  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracing.

The study of fertiliser applications using  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer is perhaps the easiest of the substrates to deal with, as it requires little preparation other than perhaps diluting the

acquired  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched isotope fertiliser to a level of enrichment suitable for the experimental objectives. One consideration is the form to apply the fertiliser in. The easiest but perhaps the least conventional way to do this is to water a fertiliser solution onto trial plots. But again the experimental design and objectives need to be considered.

#### *A role for $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched $\text{N}_2\text{O}$*

It is well recognised that  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  may be consumed in the soil profile by denitrifiers (Chapuis-Lardy *et al.*, 2007). The use of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is an underutilised tool that can increase our understanding of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production and fate in agroecosystems. Again, owing to its very nature, the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -stable isotope acts as a tracer for the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  molecule when it is added to the soil. If  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is sufficiently enriched in  $^{15}\text{N}$  and of high enough concentration, it is theoretically possible to observe  $\text{N}_2$  production, but the large  $\text{N}_2$  background makes it impractical. However, using soil columns, Clough *et al.* (2006) demonstrated that the addition of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , along with an inert tracer gas  $\text{SF}_6$ , could be used to calculate an  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  sink (consumption plus absorption by water), whereas the corresponding decrease in the  $^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment between successive soil depths enabled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production in the soil profile to be calculated simultaneously, as the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  diffused through the soil. One reason for the low uptake of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer studies directly using  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is the cost of commercially available  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . However,  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  can be made and collected on a small scale in the laboratory by gently and carefully heating small quantities of ammonium nitrate using an oil bath or muffle furnace (Friedman and Bigeleisen, 1950). Further studies with  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  are required to increase our understanding of the factors affecting  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ :  $\text{N}_2$  ratios in soils (e.g. carbon supply and soil pH) to enable the design of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  flux mitigation strategies focused on soil and manure management.

#### *Modelling N transformations, $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{N}_2$ emissions using $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer studies*

The first models developed using  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer data focused on determining gross production and consumption of mineral N, on the basis of the exchange between organic and mineral N (Kirkham and Bartholomew, 1954). These early models were simple enough to allow the development of straightforward analytical solutions. However, process-specific gross N rates including production of an N species (e.g.  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) from various sources can only be quantified with models that are based on more realistic N-transformation concepts, such as those developed by Myrold and Tiedje (1986) and Barraclough and Puri (1995). The set of simultaneous equations developed is solved using numerical integration with parameters in these models determined by suitable parameter optimisation routines (Mary *et al.*, 1998). Further developments of more realistic and arguably more complex analysis models utilise parameter optimisation routines that can handle large numbers of parameters, such as Markov Chain Monte Carlo techniques (Müller *et al.*, 2007; Ruetting and Mueller, 2007). Currently,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes can be described by such models so

that source partitioning and rates of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  consumption/production can be derived (Abbasi and Müller, 2011), and the microbial processes responsible for the observed  $^{15}\text{N}$  dynamics (e.g. autotrophic *v.* heterotrophic nitrification or denitrification) can also be determined (Stange and Dohling, 2005). Useful future developments in these models would be the use of longer time periods and the use of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled substrate pools to realistically mimic excreta or slurry deposition. To advance our understanding of N-transformation processes related to various soil organic N pools, there needs to be more utilisation of  $^{15}\text{N}$  labelling in experiments, where various organic N and mineral N pools are  $^{15}\text{N}$  labelled. Although the costs of these experiments ( $^{15}\text{N}$  label and the analysis costs) are relatively high, the data from such studies are essential, if we are to fully understand the role of soil N-transformation processes that produce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from animal excreta. Studies with  $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched substrates focusing on inputs other than inorganic N are beginning to appear and provide insights into the effects of substrate additions on gross N dynamics. For example, Nelissen *et al.* (2012) modelled soil mineral N dynamics following the application of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled biochar to a soil. With the advances in analytical techniques and analysis models ( $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracing models), it is now possible to analyse complex system dynamics. It is mainly the costs associated with  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracing studies that may prevent further large-scale experimental work. Furthermore, analytical challenges are still to be solved, such as the development of reliable field methods to quantify for instance the  $\text{N}_2/\text{N}_2\text{O}$  ratios.

#### *Utilising $^{15}\text{N}$ -enriched tracers to understand microbial contributions to $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ dynamics*

The predominant biological processes in soils forming  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  predominantly include nitrification, nitrifier denitrification, nitrification-coupled denitrification and denitrification (Wrage *et al.*, 2001; Kool *et al.*, 2011b). The use of  $^{15}\text{N}$  on its own cannot differentiate between the inorganic-N sources contributing to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes or determine the significance of individual processes to soil-derived  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions. Thus, Wrage *et al.* (2005) devised a novel dual isotope method ( $^{15}\text{N}$  and  $^{18}\text{O}$  (oxygen)) to assign  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production to these processes. The method assumed (a) no preferential removal of  $^{18}\text{O}$  or  $^{16}\text{O}$  during nitrifier denitrification or denitrification, (b) the  $^{18}\text{O}$  signature of the applied  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labelled water would remain constant over the experimental period and (c) exchange of O between  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  would be negligible. Following the application of N to a silt loam soil at 50% water-filled pore space, the assumptions were validated. Wrage *et al.* (2005) showed that nitrifier denitrification is a significant source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in soil. However, the assumption that there was negligible exchange of O between  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was subsequently proven to be violated (Kool *et al.*, 2007; Kool *et al.*, 2009a and 2009b). Thus, the dual isotope method was revised by introducing an additional  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labelled  $\text{NO}_3^-$  treatment so that O exchange during denitrification could be accounted for (Kool *et al.*, 2010 and 2011a), and it was subsequently shown that

nitrifier denitrification made a significant contribution to the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in a number of soils examined. This method holds great promise for furthering our understanding of the role of biological processes in producing N<sub>2</sub>O and needs to be applied across a wider range of soils and agroecosystems, in particular.

One of the most intriguing and exciting isotopic developments in recent years has been that of stable isotope probing (SIP) of nucleic acids. The method relies on microorganisms assimilating significant quantities of the isotope concerned. This has been used successfully to trace uptake of <sup>13</sup>C-labelled compounds into the DNA or RNA of soil microorganism (Radajewski *et al.*, 2003). Previously uncultivated N<sub>2</sub> fixers, which assimilate N, have been identified using this method with <sup>15</sup>N (Buckley *et al.*, 2007). However, the direct use of SIP to identify dissimilatory organisms and/or conditions that promote N<sub>2</sub>O production/consumption has generally been limited to conditions where denitrification is optimal and where <sup>13</sup>C compounds are dosed to identify organisms operating in the denitrifying conditions. For example, a study by Ishii *et al.* (2011) supplied <sup>13</sup>C-labelled succinate with and without N<sub>2</sub>O to determine what microbes were undertaking N<sub>2</sub>O consumption in rice paddy soils. This examination of denitrifiers by <sup>13</sup>C-proxy, under denitrifying conditions, in the absence or presence of substrates has merit, but it should also utilise <sup>15</sup>N-labelled N substrate to further strengthen the findings (fate or change in <sup>15</sup>N substrate would provide information on denitrification activity), and with emphasis placed on RNA-SIP under such conditions, as RNA provides information on active microorganisms. It is not yet understood how individual nitrification or denitrification genotypes affect N<sub>2</sub>O production (Braker and Conrad, 2011). This methodology holds much promise and needs to be applied widely to excretal and fertiliser inputs in agroecosystems, so we can determine the key microbes and their function as it relates to nitrification and nitrification processes.

### Natural abundance studies

Natural abundance studies utilise the naturally occurring isotopic composition of the molecule in question and report the abundance of the atom concerned in delta notation ( $\delta$ ) in units of ‰:

$$\delta x = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta x$  is the value of the heavy isotope in the sample ( $R_{\text{sample}}$ ) relative to a standard ( $R_{\text{standard}}$ ), where the international standard for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  is N<sub>2</sub> in air. The measurement of molecules at natural abundance may also be carried out using IRMS (see above), infrared or laser spectroscopy (as noted below).

An area where natural abundance of N isotopes has come into play with respect to N<sub>2</sub>O is in the field of isotopomers. The N<sub>2</sub>O molecule is linear and when <sup>16</sup>O is the oxygen

isotope in the molecule it takes the form <sup>14</sup>N<sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O, <sup>15</sup>N<sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O or <sup>14</sup>N<sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O. The intramolecular distribution of <sup>15</sup>N at the central ( $\alpha$ ) or end ( $\beta$ ) positions of the molecule are assessed by studying the abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in the molecule. Using  $\delta$ -notation,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  denotes the difference in the <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratio with respect to a standard, usually atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> (Mohn *et al.*, 2012). The relative difference in the ratios of <sup>14</sup>N<sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O to <sup>14</sup>N<sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>15</sup>N<sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O to <sup>14</sup>N<sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O are denoted  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta$ , respectively, whereas bulk value,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\text{bulk}} = (\delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha + \delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta)/2$  (Mohn *et al.*, 2012). The value for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\text{bulk}}$  in the troposphere is reported to range from 6.3 to 6.7‰ depending on location and time of sampling (Mohn *et al.*, 2012). Another important piece of data able to be derived from the isotopomer measurement is the site preference ( $\text{SP} = \delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha - \delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta$ ) of the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule. This is deemed independent of the isotopic composition of the substrate the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule derives from and supplies process information (Mohn *et al.*, 2012).

Before development of instrumentation for measuring  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta$ , only the average <sup>15</sup>N value of the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule was determined ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\text{bulk}}$ ). Isotopomers of N<sub>2</sub>O can now be measured using FTIR spectroscopy (Griffith *et al.*, 2009), tuneable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (Pattey *et al.*, 2006), gas chromatography-IRMS (Toyoda and Yoshida, 1999; Rockmann *et al.*, 2003; Kaiser *et al.*, 2004) and more recently quantum cascade laser absorption spectroscopy cavity-ringdown (QCLAS) spectroscopy utilising mid-infrared lasers (Mohn *et al.*, 2012).

It is well recognised that N<sub>2</sub>O isotopomers can be used to constrain the atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O budget and they confirm that the increase in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O is a result of anthropogenic perturbation of the N cycle (Yoshida and Toyoda, 2000; Park *et al.*, 2012). Initial results examining nitrification and denitrification processes showed that different groups of organisms produced differing isotopomer signatures, and that SP values of 33‰ and ~0‰ were characteristic of nitrification and denitrification, respectively (Sutka *et al.*, 2003; Sutka *et al.*, 2006).

Isotopomer science is in its infancy in the context of examining N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and sources from agroecosystems. The isotopomer analyses of N<sub>2</sub>O have been applied to examine the effects of various treatments on N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption including: biogas residue application to soil (Koster *et al.*, 2011), cropping soils receiving organic and synthetic fertilisers (Toyoda *et al.*, 2011), comparisons of tropical forest and cropping soils (Park *et al.*, 2011), soil moisture conditions (Well *et al.*, 2006; Jinuntuya-Nortman *et al.*, 2008; Bergstermann *et al.*, 2011), composting (Maeda *et al.*, 2010), stimulated soil denitrification using glucose (Meijide *et al.*, 2010), cultivation of temperate grassland (Ostrom *et al.*, 2010), microbial processes (Bol *et al.*, 2003; Toyoda *et al.*, 2005; Perez *et al.*, 2006; Sutka *et al.*, 2006; Well *et al.*, 2008), the effect of ruminant diet on subsequent slurry N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Cardenas *et al.*, 2007) and ruminant urine (Yamulki *et al.*, 2001). Most of these early studies were of short duration and had limited temporal sampling. The study by Park's *et al.* (2011) suggested that the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\text{bulk}}$  data could be used for distinguishing N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes

from fertilised and natural 'background' fluxes, and that the SP  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  results could be used to differentiate between consumption and production of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  by microbial pathways. Enticingly, the use of QCLAS has been shown to be capable of continuous analysis of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  isotopomers with identification of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source processes possible (Mohn *et al.*, 2012). This technology also needs to be deployed across agroecosystems to help understand not only excreta sources of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , and temporal dynamics, but also to explore and demonstrate the success of mitigation options.

## Conclusion

The impending increase in tropospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions as a result of existing and projected increases in anthropogenic animal production systems demands mitigation options. These can only be implemented if  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission sources and their temporal dynamics can be traced in conjunction with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fate. The stable isotopes of N and associated methodologies provide the tools to achieve this tracing. More  $^{15}\text{N}$ -tracer studies are needed to ascertain soil and excreta contributions to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  dynamics. Relatively new research fronts using SIP and isotopomers of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  offer exciting potential as diagnostic tools to evaluate effects and mitigation success. Collaborations between microbiologists, animal production specialists and soil scientists will bring much needed synergies to address the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  issue.

## Acknowledgements

This paper was published as part of a supplement to *animal*, publication of which was supported by the Greenhouse Gases & Animal Agriculture Conference 2013. The papers included in this supplement were invited by the Guest Editors and have undergone the standard journal formal review process. They may be cited. The Guest Editors appointed to this supplement are R. J. Dewhurst, D. R. Chadwick, E. Charmley, N. M. Holden, D. A. Kenny, G. Lanigan, D. Moran, C. J. Newbold, P. O'Kiely, and T. Yan. The Guest Editors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

Abbasi MK and Muller C 2011. Trace gas fluxes of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in a permanent grassland soil exposed to elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  in the Giessen FACE study. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 11, 9333–9342.

Barracough D and Puri G 1995. The use of  $^{15}\text{N}$  pool dilution and enrichment to separate the heterotrophic and autotrophic pathways of nitrification. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 27, 17–22.

Bergsma TT, Ostrom NE, Emmons M and Robertson GP 2001. Measuring simultaneous fluxes from soil of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{N}_2$  in the field using the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -Gas "nonequilibrium" technique. *Environmental Science and Technology* 35, 4307–4312.

Bergstermann A, Cardenas L, Bol R, Gilliam L, Goulding K, Meijide A, Scholefield D, Vallejo A and Well R 2011. Effect of antecedent soil moisture conditions on emissions and isotopologue distribution of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  during denitrification. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43, 240–250.

Berntsen J, Petersen BM, Sorensen P and Olesen JE 2007. Simulating residual effects of animal manures using N-15 isotopes. *Plant and Soil* 290, 173–187.

Boast CW, Mulvaney RL and Baveye P 1988. Evaluation of nitrogen-15 tracer techniques for direct measurement of denitrification in soil: I. Theory. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 52, 1317–1322.

Bol R, Toyoda S, Yamulki S, Hawkins JMB, Cardenas LM and Yoshida N 2003. Dual isotope and isotopomer ratios of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted from a temperate grassland soil after fertiliser application. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 17, 1–7.

Bosshard C, Sorensen P, Frossard E, Dubois D, Mader P, Nanzer S and Oberson A 2009. Nitrogen use efficiency of N-15-labelled sheep manure and mineral fertiliser applied to microplots in long-term organic and conventional cropping systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 83, 271–287.

Bosshard C, Oberson A, Leinweber P, Jandl G, Knicker H, Wettstein HR, Kreuzer M and Frossard E 2011. Characterization of fecal nitrogen forms produced by a sheep fed with N-15 labeled ryegrass. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 90, 355–368.

Braker G and Conrad R 2011. Diversity, structure, and size of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -producing microbial communities in soils—what matters for their functioning? In *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, Vol. 75 (ed. Al Laskin, S Sariaslani and GM Gadd), pp. 33–70. Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Buckley DH, Huangyutitham V, Hsu SF and Nelson TA 2007. Stable isotope probing with  $^{15}\text{N}_2$  reveals novel noncultivated diazotrophs in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73, 3196–3204.

Campanella MV and Bisigato AJ 2010. What causes changes in plant litter quality and quantity as consequence of grazing in the Patagonian Monte: plant cover reduction or changes in species composition? *Austral Ecology* 35, 787–793.

Cardenas LM, Chadwick D, Scholefield D, Fychan R, Marley CL, Jones R, Bol R, Well R and Vallejo A 2007. The effect of diet manipulation on nitrous oxide and methane emissions from manure application to incubated grassland soils. *Atmosphere Environment* 41, 7096–7107.

Carter MS and Ambus P 2006. Biologically fixed  $\text{N}_2$  as a source for  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production in a grass-clover mixture, measured by N-15. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 74, 13–26.

Chapuis-Lardy L, Wrage N, Metay A, Chotte JL and Bernoux M 2007. Soils, a sink for  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ? A review. *Global Change Biology* 13, 1–17.

Clough TJ, Kelliher FM, Wang YP and Sherlock RR 2006. Diffusion of N-15-labelled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  into soil columns: a promising method to examine the fate of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in subsoils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 1462–1468.

Davidson EA 2009. The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860. *Nature Geosciences* 2, 659–662.

Delgado JA, Del Grosso SJ and Ogle SM 2010. N-15 isotopic crop residue cycling studies and modeling suggest that IPCC methodologies to assess residue contributions to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -N emissions should be reevaluated. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 86, 383–390.

Denman KL, Brasseur G, Chidthaisong A, Ciais P, Cox PM, Dickinson RE, Hauglustaine D, Heinze C, Holland E, Jacob D, Lohmann U, Ramachandran S, da Silva Dias PL, Wofsy SC and Zhang X 2007. Couplings between changes in the climate system and biogeochemistry. In *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. In (ed. S Solomon, D Qin, M Manning, Z Chen, M Marquis, KB Averyt, M Tignor and HL Miller), pp. 499–587. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Dittert K, Bol R, King R, Chadwick D and Hatch D 2001. Use of a novel nitrification inhibitor to reduce nitrous oxide emission from N-15 labelled dairy slurry injected into soil. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 115, 1291–1296.

Forster P, Ramaswamy V, Artaxo P, Bernsten T, Betts R, Fahey DW, Haywood J, Lean J, Lowe DC, Myhre G, Nganga J, Prinn RG, Raga G, Schulz M and Van Dorland R 2007. Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. In *Climate change 2007: the physical basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (ed. S Solomon, D Qin, M Manning, Z Chen, M Marquis, KB Averyt, M Tignor and HL Miller), pp. 129–234. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Friedman L and Bigeleisen J 1950. Oxygen and nitrogen isotope effects in the decomposition of ammonium nitrate. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 18, 1325–1331.

Frimpong KA and Baggs EM 2010. Do combined applications of crop residues and inorganic fertilizer lower emission of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from soil? *Soil Use and Management* 26, 412–424.

Frimpong KA, Yawson DO, Baggs EM and Agyarko K 2011. Does incorporation of cowpea-maize residue mixes influence nitrous oxide emission and mineral nitrogen release in a tropical luvisol? *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 91, 281–292.

- Fry B 2006. Stable isotope ecology. Springer, USA.
- Giannopoulos G, van Groenigen JW and Puleman MM 2011. Earthworm-induced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in a sandy soil with surface-applied crop residues. *Pedobiologia* 54, S103–S111.
- Griffith D, Parkes SD, Haverd V, Paton-Walsh C and Wilson SR 2009. Absolute calibration of the intramolecular site preference of N-15 fractionation in tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O by FT-IR spectroscopy. *Analytical Chemistry* 81, 2227–2234.
- Hauck RD, Meisinger JJ and Mulvaney RL 1994. Practical considerations in the use of nitrogen tracers in agricultural and environmental research. In *Methods of soil analysis, part 2. Microbiological and biochemical properties*, SSSA book series, no. 5 (ed. RW Weaver), pp. 907–950. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, USA.
- Ishii S, Ohno H, Tsuboi M, Otsuka S and Senoo K 2011. Identification and isolation of active N<sub>2</sub>O reducers in rice paddy soil. *International Society for Microbial Ecology Journal* 5, 1936–1945.
- Jinuntuya-Nortman M, Sutka RL, Ostrom PH, Gandhi H and Ostrom NE 2008. Isotopologue fractionation during microbial reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O within soil mesocosms as a function of water-filled pore space. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 40, 2273–2280.
- Jost DI, Joergensen RG and Sundrum A 2013. Effect of cattle faeces with different microbial biomass content on soil properties, gaseous emissions and plant growth. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 49, 61–70.
- Junk G and Svec H 1958. The absolute abundance of the nitrogen isotopes in the atmosphere and compressed gas from various sources. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 14, 234–243.
- Kaiser J, Park S, Boering KA, Brenninkmeijer CAM, Hilkert A and Rockmann T 2004. Mass spectrometric method for the absolute calibration of the intermolecular nitrogen isotope distribution in nitrous oxide. *Analytical Bioanalytical Chemistry* 378, 256–269.
- Kendall C and Caldwell EA 1998. Fundamentals of isotope geochemistry. In *Isotope tracers in catchment hydrology* (ed. C Kendall and JJ McDonnell), pp. 51–86. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.
- Kirkham D and Bartholomew WV 1954. Equations for following nutrient transformations in soil, utilizing tracer data. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 18, 33–34.
- Knowles R and Blackburn TH 1993. Nitrogen isotope techniques. Academic Press Inc., San Diego. Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Kool DM, Van Groenigen JW and Wrage N 2011a. Determination of nitrous oxide based on nitrogen and oxygen isotope tracing: dealing with oxygen exchange. In *Methods in enzymology vol 46: research on nitrification and related processes, Pt B* (ed. MG Klotz and LY Stein), pp. 139–160. Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Kool DM, Dolfig J, Wrage N and Van Groenigen JW 2011b. Nitrifier denitrification as a distinct and significant source of nitrous oxide from soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43, 174–178.
- Kool DM, Hoffland E, Abrahamse SPA and van Groenigen JW 2006. What artificial urine composition is adequate for simulating soil N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and mineral N dynamics? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 1757–1763.
- Kool DM, Wrage N, Oenema O, Dolfig J and Van Groenigen JW 2007. Oxygen exchange between (de) nitrification intermediates and H<sub>2</sub>O and its implications for source determination of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O: a review. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 21, 3569–3578.
- Kool DM, Muller C, Wrage N, Oenema O and Van Groenigen JW 2009a. Oxygen exchange between nitrogen oxides and H<sub>2</sub>O can occur during nitrifier pathways. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 41, 1632–1641.
- Kool DM, Wrage N, Oenema O, Harris D and Van Groenigen JW 2009b. The O-18 signature of biogenic nitrous oxide is determined by O exchange with water. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 23, 104–108.
- Kool DM, Wrage N, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Pfeffer M, Brus D, Oenema O and Van Groenigen JW 2010. Nitrifier denitrification can be a source of N<sub>2</sub>O from soil: a revised approach to the dual-isotope labelling method. *European Journal of Soil Science* 61, 759–772.
- Koster JR, Cardenas L, Senbayram M, Bol R, Well R, Butler M, Muhling KH and Dittert K 2011. Rapid shift from denitrification to nitrification in soil after biogas residue application as indicated by nitrous oxide isotopomers. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43, 1671–1677.
- Lampe C, Dittert K, Sattelmacher B, Wachendorf M, Loges R and Taube F 2006. Sources and rates of nitrous oxide application of N-15-labelled emissions from grazed grassland after mineral fertilizer and slurry. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 2602–2613.
- Laughlin RJ, Stevens RJ and Zhuo S 1997. Determining nitrogen-15 in ammonium by producing nitrous oxide. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 61, 462–465.
- Lodge GM, King KL and Harden S 2006. Effects of pasture treatments on detached pasture litter mass, quality, litter loss, decomposition rates, and residence time in northern New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 57, 1073–1085.
- Maeda K, Toyoda S, Shimajima R, Osada T, Hanajima D, Morioka R and Yoshida N 2010. Source of nitrous oxide emissions during the cow manure composting process as revealed by isotopomer analysis of and amoA abundance in betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76, 1555–1562.
- Mary B, Recous S and Robin D 1998. A model for calculating nitrogen fluxes in soil using tracing. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 30, 1963–1979.
- Mathieu O, Lévêque J, Hénault C, Ambus P, Milloux M-J and Andreux F 2007. Influence of <sup>15</sup>N enrichment on the net isotopic fractionation factor during the reduction of nitrate to nitrous oxide in soil. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 21, 1447–1451.
- Meijide A, Cardenas LM, Bol R, Bergstermann A, Goulding K, Well R, Vallejo A and Scholefield D 2010. Dual isotope and isotopomer measurements for the understanding of N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption during denitrification in an arable soil. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology* 76, 1555–1562.
- Mohn J, Tuzson B, Manninen A, Yoshida N, Toyoda S, Brand WA and Emmenegger L 2012. Site selective real-time measurements of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O isotopomers by laser spectroscopy. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 5, 1601–1609.
- Mosier AR and Schimel DS 1993. Nitrification and denitrification. In *Nitrogen isotope techniques* (ed. R Knowles and TH Blackburn), pp. 181–208. Academic Press Inc., San Diego.
- Muller C, Rütting T, Kattge J, Laughlin RJ and Stevens RJ 2007. Estimation of parameters in complex N-15 tracing models by Monte Carlo sampling. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 39, 715–736.
- Mulvaney RL 1993. Mass spectrometry. In *Nitrogen isotope techniques* (ed. R Knowles and TH Blackburn), pp. 11–57. Academic Press Inc., San Diego.
- Mulvaney RL and Boast CW 1986. Equations for determination of nitrogen-15 labeled dinitrogen and nitrous oxide by mass spectrometry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 50, 360–363.
- Myrold DD and Tiedje JM 1986. Simultaneous estimation of several nitrogen-cycle rates using N-15 theory and application. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 18, 559–568.
- Nelissen V, Rütting T, Huygen D, Staelens J, Ruyschaerta G and Boeckx P 2012. Maize biochars accelerate short-term soil nitrogen dynamics in a loamy sand soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 55, 20–27.
- OECD-FAO 2012. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2012-2021 (ed. aAOotU Nations). OECD Publishing. <http://www.oecd.org/site/oecd-faoagriculturaloutlook/#publication2012>
- Oenema O, Wrage N, Velthof GL, van Groenigen JW, Dolfig J and Kuikman PJ 2005. Trends in global nitrous oxide emissions from animal production systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 72, 51–65.
- Ostrom NE, Sutka RL, Ostrom PH, Grandy AS, Huizinga KM, Gandhi H, von Fischer JC and Robertson GP 2010. Isotopologue data reveal bacterial denitrification as the primary source of N<sub>2</sub>O during a high flux event following cultivation of a native temperate grassland. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 42, 499–506.
- Pal P, Clough TJ, Kelliher FM and Sherlock RR 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from *in situ* deposition of <sup>15</sup>N labeled ryegrass litter in a pasture soil. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 42, 323–331.
- Pal P, Clough TJ, Kelliher FM, van Koten C and Sherlock RR 2012. Intensive cattle grazing affects pasture litter-fall: an unrecognized nitrous oxide source. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 41, 444–448.
- Park S, Pérez P, Boering KA, Trumbore SE, Gil J, Marquina S and Tyler SC 2011. Can N<sub>2</sub>O stable isotopes and isotopomers be useful tools to characterize sources and microbial pathways of N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption in tropical soils? *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 25, 1–16.
- Park S, Croteau P, Boering KA, Etheridge DM, Ferretti D, Fraser PJ, Kim KR, Krummel PB, Langenfelds RL, van Ommen TD, Steele LP and Trudinger CM 2012. Trends and seasonal cycles in the isotopic composition of nitrous oxide since 1940. *Nature Geoscience* 5, 261–265.
- Pattey E, Strachan IB, Desjardins RL, Edwards GC, Dow D and MacPherson JI 2006. Application of a tunable diode laser to the measurement of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O



- fluxes from field to landscape scale using several micrometeorological techniques. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* 136, 222–236.
- Paul JW and Beauchamp EG 1995. Availability of manure slurry ammonium for corn using N-15-labelled  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ . *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 75, 35–42.
- Perez T, Garcia-Montiel D, Trumbore S, Tyler S, De Camargo P, Moreira M, Piccolo M and Cerri C 2006. Nitrous oxide nitrification and denitrification N-15 enrichment factors from Amazon forest soils. *Ecological Applications* 16, 2153–2167.
- Powell JM, Wu ZG, Kelling K, Cusick P and Munoz G 2004. Differential nitrogen-15 labeling of dairy manure components for nitrogen cycling studies. *Agronomy Journal* 96, 433–441.
- Radajewski S, McDonald IR and Murrell JC 2003. Stable isotope probing of nucleic acids: a window to the function of uncultured microorganisms. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 14, 296–302.
- Ravishankara AR, Daniel JS and Portmann RW 2009. Nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ): the dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. *Science* 326, 123–125.
- Rockmann T, Kaiser J, Brenninkmeijer CAM and Brand WA 2003. Gas chromatography/isotope-ratio mass spectrometry method for high-precision position-dependent N-15 and O-18 measurements of atmospheric nitrous oxide. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 17, 1897–1908.
- Ruetting T and Mueller C 2007. N-15 tracing models with a Monte Carlo optimization procedure provide new insights on gross N transformations in soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 39, 2351–2361.
- Rütting T 2012. Ignoring isotopic fractionation does not bias quantifications of gross nitrogen transformations. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 26, 1639–1640.
- Schils RLM, Eriksen J, Ledgard SF, Vellinga TV, Kuikman PJ, Luo J, Petersen SO and Velthof GL 2013. Strategies to mitigate nitrous oxide emissions from herbivore production systems. *Animal* 7, 29–40.
- Schouten S, van Groenigen JW, Oenema O and Cayuela ML 2012. 'Bioenergy from cattle manure? Implications of anaerobic digestion and subsequent pyrolysis for carbon and nitrogen dynamics in soil'. *Global Change Biology Bioenergy* 4, 751–760.
- Sharp Z 2007. Principles of stable isotope geochemistry. Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Sorensen P and Thomsen IK 2005. Production of nitrogen-15-labeled pig manure for nitrogen cycling studies. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 69, 1639–1643.
- Stange F and Dohling F 2005.  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracing model SimKIM to analyse the  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production during autotrophic, heterotrophic nitrification, and denitrification in soils. *Isotopes in Environmental & Health Studies* 41, 261–274.
- Stark JM and Hart RH 1996. Diffusion technique for preparing salt solutions, Kjeldahl digests, and persulfate digests for nitrogen-15 analysis. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 60, 1846–1855.
- Stevens RJ and Laughlin RJ 1994. Determining nitrogen-15 in nitrite or nitrate by producing nitrous oxide. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 58, 1108–1116.
- Stevens RJ and Laughlin RJ 1998. Measurement of nitrous oxide and di-nitrogen emissions from agricultural soils. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 52, 131–139.
- Stevens RJ, Laughlin RJ, Atkins GJ and Prosser SJ 1993. Automated determination of nitrogen-15 labelled dinitrogen and nitrous oxide by mass spectrometry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57, 981–988.
- Sutka RL, Ostrom NE, Ostrom PH, Gandhi H and Breznak JA 2003. Nitrogen isotopomer site preference of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced by *Nitrosomonas europaea* and *Methylococcus capsulatus* Bath. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 17, 738–745.
- Sutka RL, Ostrom NE, Ostrom PH, Breznak JA, Gandhi H, Pitt AJ and Li F 2006. Distinguishing nitrous oxide production from nitrification and denitrification on the basis of isotopomer abundances. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72, 638–644.
- Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Clough TJ, Condon LM, Sherlock RR, Anderson CR and Craigie RA 2011. Biochar incorporation into pasture soil suppresses *in situ*  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from ruminant urine patches. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 40, 468–476.
- Toyoda S and Yoshida N 1999. Determination of nitrogen isotopomers of nitrous oxide on a modified isotope ratio mass spectrometer. *Analytical Chemistry* 71, 4711–4718.
- Toyoda S, Mutobe H, Yanmagishi H, Yoshida N and Tanji Y 2005. Fractionation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  isotopomers during production by denitrifier. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 37, 1535–1545.
- Toyoda S, Yano M, Nishimura S, Akiyama H, Hayakawa A, Koba K, Sudo S, Yagi K, Makabe A, Tobari Y, Ogawa NO, Ohkouchi N, Yamada K and Yoshida N 2011. Characterization and production and consumption processes of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted from temperate agricultural soils determined via isotopomer ratio analysis. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 25, 1–17.
- Well R, Kurganova I, de Gerenyu VL and Flessa H 2006. Isotopomer signatures of soil-emitted  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  under different moisture conditions – a microcosm study with arable loess soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 2923–2933.
- Well R, Flessa H, Xing L, Ju XT and Romheld V 2008. Isotopologue ratios of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted from microcosms with  $\text{NH}_4^+$  fertilized arable soils under conditions favoring nitrification. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 40, 2416–2426.
- Wrage N, Velthof GL, van Beusichem ML and Oenema O 2001. Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 33, 1723–1732.
- Wrage N, van Groenigen JW, Oenema O and Baggs EM 2005. A novel dual-isotope labelling method for distinguishing between soil sources of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 19, 3298–3306.
- Yamulki S, Toyoda S, Yoshida N, Veldkamp E, Grant B and Bol R 2001. Diurnal fluxes and the isotopomer ratios of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in a temperate grassland following urine amendment. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 15, 1263–1269.
- Yoshida N and Toyoda S 2000. Constraining the atmospheric  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  budget from intramolecular site preference in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  isotopomers. *Nature* 405, 330–334.
- Yue XL, Liao SQ, Ji HJ, Zhang WL, Zuo YB and Rong XN 2012. Nitrogen-15 labeling and nitrogen transformation in silage maize-cattle manure system. *Zhongguo Shengtai Nongye Xuebao/Chinese Journal of Eco-agriculture* Vol. 20, 24–27.