Stable, fertile lines produced by hybridization between allotetraploids Brassica juncea (AABB) and Brassica carinata (BBCC) have merged the A and C genomes

Elvis Katche¹, Roman Gaebelein¹, Zurianti Idris², Paula Vasquez-Teuber^{1,2,3}, Yu-tzu Lo², David Nugent², Jacqueline Batley⁴ and Annaliese S. Mason^{1,2,5}

¹Plant Breeding Department, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26–32, Giessen 35392, Germany; ²School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia; ³Department of Plant Production, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Concepción, Av. Vicente Méndez 595, Chillán, Chile; ⁴School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy, Crawley, Perth, WA 6009, Australia; ⁵Plant Breeding Department, University of Bonn, Katzenburgweg 5, Bonn 53115, Germany

Author for correspondence: Annaliese S. Mason Email: annaliese.mason@uni-bonn.de

Received: 30 June 2020 Accepted: 12 January 2021

New Phytologist (2021) 230: 1242-1257 doi: 10.1111/nph.17225

Key words: Brassica, genome rearrangement, homoeologous exchanges, interspecific hybridization, polyploidy.

Summary

• Many flowering plant taxa contain allopolyploids that share one or more genomes in common. In the Brassica genus, crop species Brassica juncea and Brassica carinata share the B genome, with 2n = AABB and 2n = BBCC genome complements, respectively. Hybridization results in 2n = BBAC hybrids, but the fate of these hybrids over generations of self-pollination has never been reported.

• We produced and characterized B. juncea \times B. carinata (2n = BBAC) interspecific hybrids over six generations of self-pollination under selection for high fertility using a combination of genotyping, fertility phenotyping, and cytogenetics techniques.

• Meiotic pairing behaviour improved from 68% bivalents in the F_1 to 98% in the S_5/S_6 generations, and initially low hybrid fertility also increased to parent species levels. The S_5/S_6 hybrids contained an intact B genome (16 chromosomes) plus a new, stable A/C genome (18-20 chromosomes) resulting from recombination and restructuring of A and C-genome chromosomes.

 Our results provide the first experimental evidence that two genomes can come together to form a new, restructured genome in hybridization events between two allotetraploid species that share a common genome. This mechanism should be considered in interpreting phylogenies in taxa with multiple allopolyploid species.

Introduction

Polyploidy is defined as the presence of more than two complete sets of chromosomes within an organism (Ramsey & Schemske, 1998; Soltis & Soltis, 1999). Polyploidy is reported to occur in many animals (e.g. fish, insects, and amphibians) and plants (e.g. fern and mosses), but with a higher frequency in flowering plants, and hence most major crops (Leitch & Leitch, 2008), where it represents a major mechanism of adaptation and speciation (Ramsey & Schemske, 1998). Reports indicate that 30-80% of all extant flowering plants are polyploids, with all angiosperms having experienced at least one round of whole genome duplication (Jiao et al., 2011). There are two major types of polyploids: autopolyploids, which arise within a population or species; and allopolyploids, which result from hybridization between two species.

In polyploid taxa, primary polyploids may also hybridize, leading to the formation of secondary polyploid hybrids (Rieseberg, 1997; Soltis & Soltis, 2009; Abbott et al., 2013). In the Aegilops

genera, it was found that allotetraploids that share one common genome hybridize easily (Zohary & Feldman, 1962; Dubovets & Sycheva, 2017). In hybridization between allotetraploids that share a common genome, it has been suggested that this common genome serves as a buffer, providing the opportunity for recombination between the differential genomes and leading to the formation of many new variants of the recombinant genome (Zohary & Feldman, 1962; Kimber & Yen, 1988; Badaeva et al., 2002; Dubovets & Sycheva, 2017) (Fig. 1). Although never experimentally validated, polyploids with putatively recombinant genomes have been identified in the Triticeae tribe and in cereals (Wang et al., 2000; Badaeva et al., 2004; Molnár et al., 2013). The molecular analysis of genomic changes that accompany polyploidy has led to a significant breakthrough in understanding how primary polyploids form new, stable genomes. However, how secondary polyploids may form stable, recombinant genomes is unknown. Elucidating this process will deepen our understanding of micro-evolutionary differentiation within families and may assist in phylogenetic reconstruction.

© 2021 The Authors

New Phytologist © 2021 New Phytologist Trust This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.



Fig. 1 Formation of a possible new, stable allopolyploid hybrid through hybridization between two allotetraploids that share one of two genomes in common. The different genome compositions BB, AABB, BBAC, BBCC, and AABBCC represent different possible karyotypes that could arise from this hybrid combination.

The Brassica genus is an important model for studying interspecific hybridization and polyploidy. It is a complex of related diploid and allopolyploid species containing the A, B, and C genomes, where the evolutionary relationship between six agriculturally important members of this genus was illustrated by U (1935). The 'triangle of U' consists of three diploid species (Brassica rapa, 2n = AA = 20; Brassica nigra, 2n = BB = 16; and Brassica oleracea, 2n = CC = 18) and three allotetraploids (Bras*sica juncea*, 2n = AABB = 36, a product of hybridization between B. rapa and B. nigra; Brassica carinata, BBCC = 34, a hybrid between B. nigra and B. oleracea; and Brassica napus, 2n = AACC = 38, a hybrid between *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*). The ancestral relationship that exists between the Brassica A, B, and C genomes has been well elucidated (Attia & Röbbelen, 1986; Lagercrantz & Lydiate, 1996; Mason et al., 2010; Chalhoub et al., 2014), with the A and C genomes shown to be more closely related to each other than to the B genome. Although the B genome species separated from the A/C lineage some 6 Ma, comparison of the palaeopolyploid genomes reveal extensive conservation of gene content and sequence identity (Navabi et al., 2013).

Brassica allotetraploids species can readily hybridize to produce trigenomic hybrids AABC, BBAC, and CCAB, with each hybrid combination having one of the subgenomes in a diploid state and the other two in a haploid state (Schelfhout et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2009; Navabi et al., 2010; Mason et al., 2010). The chromosome pairing behaviour of trigenomic Brassica allotetraploid hybrids AABC, BBAC, and CCAB has previously been reported in the F₁ generation (Mason et al., 2010). Although all types of allosyndesis (A-B, B-C, and A-C) are observed in all hybrids at varying frequencies, AABC and CCAB hybrid types show little pairing between chromosomes belonging to the haploid genomes, whereas BBAC hybrids show high frequencies of A-C pairing (Mason et al., 2010). However, the fate of these hybrid lineages under self-pollination conditions in subsequent generations has never been reported. In this study, we aimed to determine the genome stability and fertility of BBAC hybrids across multiple generations, to see if stable, fertile hybrid offspring

could be recovered in later generations, and if so by which mechanism(s).

Materials and Methods

Experimental plant material

Brassica trigenomic tetraploids with genome complement BBAC are the products of the cross between the two Brassica allotetraploid species *B. juncea* (2n = AABB) and *B. carinata* (2n = BBCC). The parental *B. juncea* genotype 'JN9-04', hereafter represented with the code J1, was crossed with two different genotypes '195923.3.2_01DH' B. carinata and '94024.2_02DH', hereafter called C1 and C2, respectively - to generate two separate F₁ hybrid genotypes: J1C1 and J1C2 (Fig. 2; Supporting Information Dataset S1). In brief, self-pollination in each generation was encouraged by enclosing racemes in microperforated plastic bags, and the most fertile plants in each generation (two to five plants per genotype combination) were selected as parents for the next generation. The generations were labelled as F_1 for the initial BBAC hybrids, then S_1 to S_6 for the subsequent six self-pollination generations.

Molecular karyotyping using marker-based genotyping data

Leaf samples were collected in 2 ml microcentrifuge tubes and stored at -20° C until use. DNA was extracted for the S₃, S₅, and S₆ generation plants using the BioSprint 96 plant work station (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions (http://qia gen.com/), and for earlier generations (S₁ and S₂) using the Microprep method described in Fulton *et al.* (1995). Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping was performed using the Illumina Infinium 90K *Brassica* SNP array (A, B and C



Fig. 2 Schema for generational selection of BBAC hybrid plants from the cross *Brassica juncea* × *Brassica carinata* based on highest numbers of self-pollinated seeds produced per plant. Red dots indicate the actual number of parent plants selected in each progeny generation.

Research 1243

genomes) for the S_3 , S_5 , and S_6 generations, and using the Illumina Infinium 60K *Brassica* SNP array (A and C genomes) for S_1 and S_2 generations. Hybridization was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for all samples, and the genotyped data were visualized and exported using GENOME STUDIO v.2.0.4 software (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

A total of 41 441 SNPs were exported for the A and C genomes after application of the recommended cluster file (Clarke et al., 2016) for S5 and S6 hybrids. A and C-genome SNPs were mapped to the Darmor-bzh v.8 reference sequence (Bayer et al., 2017) via BLAST of the SNP probe sequences (Karlin & Altschul, 1990). We filtered out SNPs that were mapped to the A genome but which amplified in B. carinata and SNPs that were mapped to the C genome but which amplified in *B. juncea*. As no allelic segregation is expected within the A and C genomes in these populations because they had no homologous pairing partners, SNPs that were heterozygous within the A and the C genomes were also filtered out. SNPs that had a 'no call' in > 10%of individuals within a haplotype block ($r^2 = 1$) of called SNPs or that had a 'call' in > 10% of individuals within a haplotype block $(r^2 = 1)$ of 'no-call' SNPs were removed, in addition to SNPs showing patterns of segregation inconsistent with determined genomic locations. Genotype calls were finally converted to presence/absence calls (1 for presence and 0 for absence). After filtering, 26 484 SNPs were retained for the J1C1 genotype: 10 773 in the A genome and 15711 in the C genome (Dataset S2). For the J1C2 genotype, 26 523 SNP markers were retained: 10 717 for the A genome and 15 806 for the C genome (Dataset S3).

The B genome SNP array data comprised 25 101 SNPs for which genomic positions were supplied with the public Illumina Infinium Brassica 90K array for an assembled B. nigra genome (available under MTA from Isobel Parkin, Agriculture and AgriFood Canada). The data were filtered to retain only SNPs that were polymorphic between the B genome of B. juncea and the B genome of B. carinata for each genotype combination (Datasets S2, S3). For early generations of BBAC S1 and S2 hybrids, SNP genotyping was performed using the Illumina Infinium 60K Brassica array and mapped to the Darmor-bzh v.8.1 reference sequence (Chalhoub et al., 2014). SNP filtering was performed as already reported herein (Datasets S4-S9). In summary, SNP genotyping and data analysis were performed for the S1, S2, S₃, S₅, and S₆ generations and for both the J1C1 and J1C2 lineages in each generation. The S4 generation was not included because of a failure to collect leaf samples from S_4 hybrids.

The R package CHROMDRAW (Janečka & Lysak, 2016) was used to produce the karyotypes of these hybrids. The centromere locations for the A and C genomes were assessed using the populations and methods reported in Mason *et al.* (2016) for *B. napus* Darmor-*bzh* v.1 (Chalhoub *et al.*, 2014), remapped to the latest version of the *B. napus* cultivar Darmor-*bzh* v.8 reference genome sequence (Bayer *et al.*, 2017) (Table S1).

Cytological analysis

Root tips and young flower buds were collected and prepared according to the procedure of Snowdon et al. (1997), and

4698137, 2021, 3, Downloaded from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.17225 by Cochrane Germany, Wiley Online Library on [21/11/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/term nditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Lefton *et al.* (2010). Mitosis slides were observed after 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining to visualize chromosomes under ultraviolet excitation using a Leica fluorescence microscope (Leica DMR; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and meiosis slides were stained with 1% acetic acid carmine solution and observed using a Leica microscope with phase contrast. Mitotic chromosome analysis was done for 34 plants in the S₄ generation, 60 plants in the S₅ generation, and 82 plants in the S₆ generation. Two plants from each progeny set (eight plants per genotype) were selected to assess meiotic pairing behaviour at metaphase I of meiosis in the S₅ and S₆ generations. A minimum of 20 (mode 40) pollen mother cells from two different buds were assessed per plant for which data could be collected (Table S2).

Bacterial artificial chromosome–fluorescence *in situ* hybridization and genomic *in situ* hybridization

Slide preparation followed by hybridization using bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)–fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (using BAC clone BoB014O06 containing C-genome-specific dispersed repeat sequence *Bot1* (Alix *et al.*, 2008) labelled with Cy3) and genomic *in situ* hybridization (using DNA extracted from *B. nigra* labelled with fluorescein isothiocyanate) was carried out according to the procedures detailed in Leflon *et al.* (2006) and Mason *et al.* (2010). Images were captured using a Leica fluorescent microscope (Leica Microsystems).

Fertility data

Total seed set data was collected for all plants after encouraging self-pollination using micro perforated sleeves to enclose racemes. Newly opened flowers (at least two per plant) were collected when plants started flowering and pollen stained with 1% acetocarmine solution before assessing viability using a Leica microscope. At least 300 pollen grains were counted per flower. Plants were then bagged to encourage self-fertilization, and total seed was counted after drying.

Results

Fertility in BBAC F1 and S1 hybrids

BBAC F_1 hybrids from two genotype combinations (produced between a homozygous inbred line of *B. juncea* with two doubled-haploid-derived lines of *B. carinata* – see (Mason *et al.* (2011b) for details) were grown under several different glasshouse and controlled-environment growth-room temperature conditions. The seed fertility under all conditions ranged from 0 to 333 seeds/plant with an average of 101 seeds/plant (Dataset S1; includes subset of plants from Mason *et al.*, 2011b). Pollen viability was collected for a subset of individuals: F_1 hybrids showed moderate pollen production (average 15%, range 3–59%).

A total of 44 BBAC S_1 plants (20 J1C1 and 24 J1C2) resulting from seeds produced by F_1 hybrid parents were grown under

New Phytologist

glasshouse conditions, and a further 220 BBAC S_1 seeds (113 J1C1 and 107 J1C2) were grown in the field (200 direct sown, 20 germinated under glasshouse conditions and planted out at the four to six-leaf stage) (Table S3).

Forty of the 44 glasshouse-grown plants (four were not genotyped) were found to result from self-pollination of F1 hybrids following genotyping with the Illumina Infinium Brassica 60K genotyping array, as expected. Fertility varied dramatically in the S1 generation, with 9-96% pollen viability and 0-403 seeds per plant. Most field-grown BBAC S1 (J1C1 and J1C2 combined) plants (85%, 186/220) failed to produce any seeds, but most glasshouse-grown BBAC S1 (73%, 29/40, as 4/44 plants were not SNP genotyped and were left out of the analysis) did produce seeds. Significant differences (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA) were observed between the two genotypes of BBAC S1 hybrid: only 6% of J1C1 plants (6/107 sown seeds) produced seeds under field conditions, as opposed to 25% of J1C2 plants (27/113). For glasshouse-grown plants, 10% of J1C1 (2/20) and 55% of J1C2 (11/20) with a combined average of 33% (13/40) failed to produce any seed.

Chromosome numbers, pairing behaviour, and genome constitutions in BBAC S_1 hybrids

Chromosome count data were obtained for 30 BBAC S₁ plants. An average of 33 chromosomes with a mode of 35 and a range from 25 to 36 chromosomes was observed. There were no significant differences between the two genotypes in terms of chromosome numbers of BBAC S₁ progeny (ANOVA, P > 0.05).

A high number of chromosomal rearrangements was observed in the A and C genomes, as assessed by deletions and duplications of parts of chromosomes based on SNP genotyping of 40 BBAC S_1 hybrids (two plants were discarded from the analysis because they were contaminated; Fig. 3). In the J1C2 population (20 plants), 53% of all A and C-genome chromosomes showed evidence of homoeologous recombination based on either absence or duplication of parts of chromosomes (0.53 events per chromosome per plant; Fig. 3d). More than one-third of A-genome and C-genome chromosomes were partially lost: 0.36 and 0.37 events per chromosome per plant for the A and C genomes, respectively. Complete loss of A and C genome chromosomes was relatively



Fig. 3 Genetic changes in *Brassica juncea* \times *Brassica carinata* self-pollinated S₁ interspecific hybrids as detected from Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP array data. (a) Percentage of deletions/duplications (loss or gain of a whole or part of a chromosome) in the J1C1 population. (b) Percentage deletions/ duplications in the J1C2 population for the different A and C chromosomes. (c, d) Percentage of individuals with recombinant chromosomes resulting from nonhomologous recombination events for each A and C-genome chromosome in the (c) J1C1 S₁ population and (d) J1C2 S₂ population.

© 2021 The Authors New Phytologist © 2021 New Phytologist Trust rare: seven losses of complete A-genome chromosomes and 12 losses of complete C-genome chromosomes were detected across the 20 plants (with 10 A chromosomes and nine C chromosomes per plant in the F_1), giving an average loss of 0.035 per chromosome per plant for the A genome and 0.066 for the C genome (Fig. 3b). Similar patterns were observed for the J1C1 lineage (18 plants, two discarded as a result of contamination), with 55% of A and C-genome chromosomes undergoing homoeologous exchanges (0.60 per chromosome per plant; Fig. 3c), and with few chromosomes completely lost (six events in the A-genome, 0.03 per chromosome per plant; and 17 in the C genome, 0.10 per chromosome per plant; Fig. 3a).

Selection and fertility in the BBAC S₂ generation

Self-pollinated seed set ranged from 0 to 745 seeds per plant in the BBAC S₂ J1C1 and J1C2 lineages. J1C1 plants set an average of 81 seeds, with 11% (5/44 plants) failing to produce any seeds. J1C2 had an average of 40 seeds/plant with 16% (10/62 plants) failing to produce any seeds. Only one plant in the J1C1 population failed to produce viable pollen, with an average of 71% pollen viability (range 0–97%) across the two populations. This result was obtained from 106 BBAC S₂ plants that were grown to maturity from selected S₁ parents and confirmed as true self-pollinated progeny.

Chromosome numbers and genomic constitutions in the BBAC S_2 hybrids

Chromosome counts were obtained for 22 BBAC S₂ individuals (11 J1C1 plants and 11 J1C2 plants) and showed a wide distribution (24–37 chromosomes, average 31). The chromosome distribution was the same in both lineages, with an average of 31 chromosomes. There was no observed correlation between chromosome number, pollen viability, and seed set (ANOVA, P > 0.05).

Nonhomologous recombination events were very frequent, and were differentially distributed across the different A and Cgenome chromosomes (Fig. S1) in the J1C1 plants and J1C2 plants in the S_2 generation genotyped using the Illumina Infinium 60K *Brassica* array for the A and C genomes. Out of the 44 J1C1 plants that were SNP genotyped, five were unsuccessful; and for the J1C2 plants, 2/62 were unsuccessful. SNP analysis was therefore done for 39 J1C1 plants and 60 J1C2 plants. The average number of deletions per chromosome per plant was 0.6 in the J1C2 and 0.5 in the J1C1 progeny. The average number of duplications (gain of a partial or complete chromosome copy) per chromosome per plant was 0.36 in the J1C1 progeny and 0.38 in the J1C2 progeny. Chromosomes A8 and C7 consistently had the lowest number of deletion and duplication events in the J1C1 and J1C2 populations (Fig. S1a,b).

In the J1C1 population, chromosome C8 was most frequently lost, followed by A10, C7 and A4. In the J1C2 population, A8 was most frequently lost, followed by C7, A10, and C4. Chromosomes that showed partial deletions and/or duplication events were considered to have undergone a nonhomologous

recombination event, as this is the primary mechanism by which deletions or duplications of only a partial instead of a whole chromosome can be observed (Mason et al., 2011b). Homoeology refers to chromosomes or chromosome segments that diverged from a common origin as a result of speciation and were brought back into the same genome by allopolyploidy, and which hence share sequence similarity. The sequence similarity between the A and C chromosomes, which is a measure of their homoeology, is reported in Chalhoub et al. (2014), Mason et al. (2014), and Lagercrantz & Lydiate (1996). The number of putative homoeologous exchanges in these S₂ hybrids were similar, especially for chromosomes with high homoeology between the subgenomes, and all chromosomes showed evidence of putative homoeologous exchange events. Chromosomes A6 and A8 had the lowest number of putative homoeologous exchanges in the J1C1 population, whereas A8 and C7 were lowest in the J1C2 population (Fig. S1c,d). Percentage genome presence was calculated using the number of present or missing SNPs for each chromosome in relation to the total number of SNPs for that chromosome.

Selection, fertility and genetic constitution of BBAC $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}_3}\xspace$ generation hybrids

Four BBAC S_2 plants (two from each of the J1C1 and J1C2 genotype combinations) were selected as parents of the BBAC S_3 generation. A total of 397 BBAC S_3 hybrid plants were grown and survived to maturity, with 100, 60, 93 and 144 plants from each BBAC S_2 parent. Of the total 397 plants, seed data were collected for 358 plants, with 39 being discarded due to heavy powdery mildew infestation. Seed production ranged from 0 to 1196 seeds/plant, with an average of 217 seeds/plant. Only 4% of plants (14/358) failed to produce self-pollinated seed (Dataset S1).

Of the total number of plants grown, 88 J1C1 plants and 92 J1C2 plants were SNP genotyped using the Illumina Infinium Brassica 90K SNP array (Table S3). Using the proportion of SNPs present and absent for each chromosome, we calculated the percentage of genome present for each chromosome. In comparison with the S₂ generation, the variation in the percentage of the genome present for the different chromosomes was reduced in the S₃ generation, as expected for increasing fixation of 'heterozygous' chromosome rearrangements. For example, chromosome A1 was present 30–100% of the time in the J1C1 S₂ generation and 60-75% of the time in the J1C1 S3 generation, whereas chromosome A2 was present 0-100% of the time in the S₂ but from 0 to 25% of the time in the S_3 . Although this type of variation was generally reduced in the S3 generation compared with the S₂ generation, it was still high for some chromosomes, including A7, C3, C4, C6 and C7 of the J1C1 lineage. There was a significant difference in the percentage genome present between the chromosomes in both populations (ANOVA, *P*<0.05; Fig. 4c,h).

There was no significant effect of any large-scale (> 0.5 Mbp)genomic rearrangements in the A and C genomes on fertility. However, stepwise regression analysis showed that the absence of



Fig. 4 Percentage of genome present per chromosome in *Brassica juncea* by *Brassica carinata* self-pollinated interspecific hybrids from the S_1 to S_6 generation (top to bottom) in (a–e) J1C1 hybrids and (f–j) J1C2 hybrids.

chromosome A3 in the J1C1 progeny and A1 in the J1C2 progeny reduced fertility (P=0.03 for J1C1 and P=0.0122 for J1C2). The B genome showed very limited genetic changes

compared with the A and C genomes, indicating limited recombination between the B and A/C genomes. However, a 2.8 Mbp deletion at the top of chromosome B8 (loss of both copies),

which was present in 19% of J1C2 hybrid plants, caused a reduction in seed fertility (P=0.00085, stepwise regression analysis).

Fertility and chromosome numbers in the BBAC S_4 and S_5 hybrids

By the S_4 generation, there was an increase in the pollen and seed fertility of these hybrids. The average pollen viability of the J1C1 and J1C2 populations was 51% (range 17-89) and 91% (range 67-98), respectively, with a significant difference between these two genotypes (ANOVA, P < 0.05). The seed fertility ranged from 274 to 1551 for J1C1 and 442 to 1884 for J1C2, with an average seed fertility of 809 seeds/plant for the J1C1 and 1127 seeds/plant for the J1C2 populations, with a significant difference between the two populations (ANOVA, P < 0.05). This was based on analysis of 100 plants: 50 J1C1 and 50 J1C2. The average J1C2 S₄ seed fertility was higher than that of the B. carinata C2 parent, but the seed fertility of the B. juncea and B. carinata C1 parent was higher than the average of both lineages. The chromosome numbers of these plants ranged from 32 to 36 in both genotypes, with an average number of 34. Seed fertility in the S₃, S₄ and S₅ hybrid plants was higher on average in the J1C2 plants than in the J1C1 plants (ANOVA, P = 0.01; Fig. S2). The average fertility of the S5 was also less than that of S4. This is likely the result of severe disease pressure in the glasshouse, as the fertility of the parent genotypes was also seriously affected.

Fertility of BBAC hybrids increased with generational selection

Hybrids generally became more fertile across generations with selection (in each generation the most fertile individuals were selected as parents of the next generation) (Dataset S1). The general increase in seed production was, however, reversed in the S₅ generation due to severe disease pressure in the glasshouse (Fig. S3). By the sixth generation of self-pollination, some hybrid progeny sets had restored equivalent seed fertility to their parent species, with a combined average of 1072 seeds per plant (Fig. 5c; Dataset S1). In the J1C2 lineage, there was an increase in pollen viability from the F₁ generation to the S₄ generation, after which (S₄-S₆ generations) pollen viability levels were close to those of the parental controls. Variation between plants in pollen viability also decreased across the generations (Fig. 5b). In the J1C1 lineage, pollen fertility also increased from the F_1 to S_6 generation, although the increase was less consistent across the generations compared with the J1C2 lineage. The variation observed between plants was also higher in the J1C1 lineage than in that of the J1C2 (Fig. 5a).

Chromosome number in later generation hybrids was highly conserved and restored regular meiosis

Chromosome number per plant was counted in the S_1 , S_2 , S_4 , S_5 and S_6 generations (Fig. 5d; S_3 data were not obtained). In the F_1 generation, the chromosome number was 2n=BBAC=35, as expected from the union of haploid gametes from *B. juncea* (AB)

and *B. carinata* (BC) (Mason *et al.*, 2010). Variation in chromosome number was higher in the first four self-pollinated generations (S_1 – S_4) than in the last two generations (Fig. 5d). In the S_5 generation, 64 plants were analysed: 47 (74%) had 36 chromosomes, 4 (6%) had 35 chromosomes, and 13 (20%) had a chromosome number of 34. Of the total 82 plants (41 J1C1 and 41 J1C2) analysed in the S_6 generation, 64 (78 %) had 36 chromosomes, 13 (16%) had 34 chromosomes, and 5 (6%) had 35 chromosomes. Fewer J1C1 plants, 28/41 (69%), showed 2n=36chromosomes compared with J1C2 plants 35/41 (86%).

Meiotic chromosome pairing behaviour was analysed in the F₁, S₄, S₅, and S₆ generations (Table S4), most comprehensively in the S₅ and S₆ (Table S2). The parent *B. juncea* and *B. carinata* genotypes showed 100% regular bivalent pairing (18 and 17 bivalents at metaphase I, respectively) with no univalent or multivalent chromosome pairing configurations observed (Table S2), as expected from established allopolyploid species. BBAC F₁ hybrids (2n=35) from the J1C2 genotype combination were previously reported to show only 68% of chromosomes involved in regular bivalent chromosome pairing per cell on average (3.1I+11.7II+2.3III+0.2IV; Mason et al., 2010). In the S₄ generation (four plants assessed), 95% regular bivalent pairing was observed (Table S4). An average of 96% regular bivalent pairing was observed in the S5 generation, and 99% regular bivalent pairing in the S_6 generation (Table S4). S_5 -generation hybrids showed an average meiotic configuration of 0.34I + 17.16II + 0.14III averaged across progeny sets, with a maximum of four univalents and two multivalents per cell. S₆generation hybrids showed a significant improvement over the S5 generation in meiotic regularity as assessed by percentage bivalent formation across progeny sets (P = 0.028; Fig. 6b,d; Table S2). In the S₆ generation, hybrids showed average configurations of 0.2I + 17.8II + 0.03III averaged across progeny sets, with a maximum of two univalents and one trivalent observed per cell. Neither progeny set nor lineage in either generation significantly affected meiotic pairing configuration (average number of univalents, bivalents, and multivalents).

Highly rearranged karyotype structure in later generation Brassica BBAC S_5 and S_6 interspecific hybrids

High-quality SNPs from 96 S₅ and 96 S₆ plants were used to determine the karyotype structure of S₅/S₆ hybrids. From these marker data, karyotypes of the A, B and C genomes for the two lineages were produced (Figs 7, 8, S3, S4). Based on the SNP data, all 16 B-genome chromosomes were present and not recombined with any A or C genome chromosomes in the S₅ and S₆ generations (Fig. 7a,b). This result was also confirmed using genomic *in situ* hybridization on mitotic and meiotic chromosome preparations (Fig. 7c), where the expected eight bivalents resulting from the correct pairing of the 16 B chromosomes were always observed at metaphase I and these chromosomes on opposite poles) (Fig. 7d). The B genome was fixed for either *B juncea* or *B. carinata* alleles, with some regions of residual heterozygosity.





Fig. 5 Pollen, seed fertility, and chromosome number distribution of *Brassica juncea* × *Brassica carinata* interspecific hybrid progeny sets after six generations of self-pollination with selection for fertility relative to parental genotypes (*B. juncea* 'J1', *B. carinata* 'C1', and *B. carinata* 'C2'). (a, b) Pollen viability of (a) the J1C1 lineage and (b) the J1C2 lineage. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences. (c) Seed fertility of S₆ hybrids. Four progeny sets (12 plants per progeny set) are presented for each of two different genotypes J1C1 (green) and J1C2 (blue), along with the parental controls (red). (d) Distribution of chromosome number in self-pollinated progeny generations (S₁ to S₆) of *B. juncea* × *B. carinata* interspecific hybrids (F₁ = BBAC = 35 chromosomes) following selection for fertility in each generation.

By contrast, the A and C genomes were highly restructured, with chromosome losses and frequent translocations between the A and C genomes evident from the marker analyses. For the J1C1 lineage, 8/10 A-genome chromosomes showed genetic changes (deletions) based on SNP marker inheritance. whereas all C-genome chromosomes (9/9) showed genetic changes. Besides the loss of chromosome segments, there was no complete loss of any A-genome chromosome; for the C-genome, however, the three chromosomes C5, C7, and C8 were completely lost. These genetic changes were not different between the S_5 and S_6 generations. For the J1C2 lineage, 8/10 Agenome chromosomes were involved in rearrangements with a complete loss of chromosome A4 in the entire population, whereas 6/9 C-genome chromosomes were involved in rearrangements with a complete loss of chromosome C8. There was no clear selective pressure for particular chromosome segments or karyotype configuration: the genetic changes that occurred in the A and C genomes differed between the J1C1 and J1C2 lineages. For example, whereas in J1C1 all A-genome chromosomes were present, chromosome A4 was lost in J1C2.



Fig. 6 Cytology of hybrids derived from the cross *Brassica juncea* \times *Brassica carinata* followed by five or six generations of self-pollination with selection for high fertility. (a) Anaphase I in a BBAC S₅ hybrid; (b) metaphase I in a BBAC S₅ hybrid; (c) anaphase I in a BBAC S₆ hybrid; (d) metaphase I in a BBAC S₆ hybrid; whybrid showing correct bivalent pairing and proper segregation.

Chromosome A5 recombined with chromosome C4 in J1C1, but with chromosome C5 in J1C2.

There was clear bias towards the retention of the A genome in both linages, with the C-genome homoeologues more frequently lost (Figs 4, 8). In the J1C1 population, 81-84% of the Agenome and 18-21% of the C genome was retained per progeny set by the S₆ generation, where all progeny sets had a common ancestor that retained 92% of the A genome and 58% of the C genome in the S₂ generation (Fig. S3). In the J1C2 population, 67-70% of the A genome and 42-51% of the C-genome was retained in the S₆ generation, where all progeny sets had an S₂generation ancestor with 81% A genome and 75% C genome retention. Every homoeologous chromosome region was present in exactly one copy in the final modal A/C genome karyotypes in each lineage: either the A genome copy or the C genome copy of the homoeologous region was retained (Dataset S10). No homoeologous regions were observed in which both the Agenome and the C-genome copy were retained, or in which both the A-genome and the C-genome copy were lost.

Generational progression of chromosome changes

Most of the exchanges that took place between the A and C genomes occurred between the chromosomes with the highest degree of homoeology, such as A1/C1, A2/C2, A3/C3, A6/C7, A9/C9, and A10/C9 (Lagercrantz & Lydiate, 1996; Chalhoub *et al.*, 2014; Mason *et al.*, 2014). Most of the recombination events between the A and C genomes took place in the first hybrid



Fig. 7 Inheritance of B-genome alleles from *Brassica juncea* (J, dark green) and *Brassica carinata* (C, light green) based on single-nucleotide polymorphism marker genotyping in hybrids derived from the cross *B. juncea* × *B. carinata* following six generations of self-pollination (BBAC S₆) and selection for fertility: (a) J1C1 lineage and (b) J1C2 lineage. Forest green regions (CJ or JC) denote heterozygous regions with both *B. juncea* and *B. carinata* alleles. (c, d) Genomic *in situ* hybridization of (c) J1C1 chromosomes in metaphase I of meiosis with correct pairing of B genome (green) and (d) J1C1 chromosomes at anaphase I of meiosis showing proper segregation. Karyotypes of (a) and (b) produced using the R package CHROMDRAW.

meiosis (in F1 plants), and hence were first observed in the S1 generation in a heterozygous state (Figs S3, S4) before putatively being inherited and fixed in subsequent generations. Analysis of A-genome chromosome structure for J1C1 showed that genetic changes in chromosomes A1, A3, A5, A6, A7, and A9 remained the same between S_2 and S_6 generations. For the C genome, C4, C5, C6, and C7 did not undergo further changes between the S_2 and S₆ generations except for the loss of C8. Some of the karyotype rearrangements that were heterozygous in the J1C1 S₂ generation (e.g. involving A2, A3, and A7) appeared in the S_6 generation as homoeologous exchanges between A2/C2, A3/C3, and A7/C6 (Figs 8, S3, S4). Residual variation (presence of some individuals still segregating for chromosomal rearrangements or presence/absence) in karyotypes was observed in both lineages between progeny sets. In the J1C1 lineage, an A7/C6 translocation segregating in the S_5 generation was fixed in the S_6 with different variants between progeny sets, and a similar pattern was observed for an A2/C2 karyotype variant in the J1C2 lineage.

Discussion

In this study, we analysed the chromosome behaviour, stability, and fertility of *Brassica* trigenomic BBAC hybrids over six generations of self-pollination and selection for high fertility. Our results show that self-pollination and selection for fertility can lead to stable, fertile hybrids with novel karyotypes. Recombination and restructuring occurred between the A and C genomes in BBAC hybrids, whereas the B genome remained unchanged, and these A/C rearrangements appeared to be fixed by the $S_{5/6}$ generation, accompanied by a restoration of fertility and meiotic stability to produce 'true-breeding' progeny.

The ancestral relationship which exists between the Brassica A, B, and C genomes has been well-elucidated (Attia & Röbbelen, 1986; Lagercrantz & Lydiate, 1996; Ge & Li, 2007; Mason et al., 2010; Chalhoub et al., 2014), with the A and C genomes shown to be more closely related to each other than to the B genome. It has been predicted that the B. nigra (B) lineage diverged from the B. rapa and B. oleracea (A/C) lineage c. 7.9 million years ago (Mya) followed by the separation of the B. rapa (A) and B. oleracea (C) lineages c. 3.7 Ma (Inaba & Nishio, 2002; Panjabi et al., 2008). As a result of this close relationship, the A and C genomes pair readily with each other in haploids (Nicolas et al., 2009), AAC and CCA triploids (Leflon et al., 2006), synthetic allotetraploids (Xiong et al., 2011), and unbalanced AABC, BBAC, and CCAB tetraploid hybrids (Mason et al., 2010), whereas A-B and B-C homoeologous pairing is less frequently observed (Chen et al., 2005; Mason et al., 2011a; Navabi et al., 2010). We observed a complete lack of recombination between the B genome and the A genome and between the B genome and C genome in BBAC hybrids after six generations of self-pollination in our study. This observation is likely due to the fact that the B genome was present as homologous chromosome pairs, whereas the A and C genomes formed highly homoeologous pairing partners. Selection for fertility may also have selected against plants with homoeologous recombination events involving the B genome.



Fig. 8 Genetic changes and predicted recombined karyotypes in hybrids between *Brassica juncea* and *Brassica carinata* followed by six generations of self-pollination with selection for fertility (BBAC S₆) based on SNP marker genotyping: (a) A and C genome karyotype in the J1C1 BBAC F₁ hybrid with the expected 19 chromosomes given no recombination and segregation has occurred between the A and C chromosomes; (b) presence and absence of A and C genome chromosomes in the J1C1 lineage after six generations of self-pollination (S₆) based on SNP marker inheritance, where white represents absence of a chromosome segment: chromosomes C5, C7 and C8 are completely lost and therefore not represented; (c) the predicted modal recombined A–C chromosome counts; (d) A and C genome karyotype in the J1C2 BBAC F₁ hybrid with the expected 19 chromosomes because no recombination and segregation has occurred between the A and C-genome chromosomes in the J1C2 lineage after six generations of self-pollination (S₆) with a total of 20 chromosomes because no recombination and segregation has occurred between the A and C genome karyotype in the J1C2 BBAC F₁ hybrid with the expected 19 chromosomes because no recombination and segregation has occurred between the A and C chromosomes; (e) presence and absence of A- and C-genome chromosomes in the J1C2 lineage after six generations of self-pollination (S₆) based on SNP marker inheritance, where white represents absence of a chromosome segment: chromosomes A4 and C8 are completely lost and therefore not represented; and (f) the predicted modal recombined A–C chromosome karyotype for the J1C2 lineage after six generations of self-pollination (S₆) with a total of 20 chromosome sbased on copy number analysis and chromosome counts.

Previously, self-pollination of a wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)–rye (*Secale cereale*) hybrid with 2n = RRAB up until the F_{17} generation revealed less frequent recombination between the A and B genomes and complete retention of the R genome in the early generations, but no restoration of genome stability (Dubovets & Sycheva, 2017). This is in contrast to our results of early fixation

of karyotypes and restoration of genome stability by the $S_{5/6}$ generations. These contrasting results could be due to differences in the genetic control of meiosis between the wheat and *Brassica* genomes. The *Ph1* locus, located on chromosome 5B of bread wheat, is known to prevent homoeologous recombination between the wheat chromosomes almost entirely (Griffiths *et al.*,

is (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/term:

and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

2006). Hence, the presence of the *Ph1* locus could have decreased the ability of the A and B genomes to recombine and stabilize in early generations. By contrast, no strong control preventing homoeologous recombination between the *Brassica* A and C genomes is expected.

Most genetic changes took place in the S_1/S_2 generation meiosis rather than in later generation meiosis in both lineages, as has previously been reported in other synthetic *Brassica* types (Prakash, 1999; Szadkowski *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, there was no clear selective pressure for particular chromosome segments or karyotype configurations between the two genotypes assessed. A similar lack of selection for particular chromosome segments has been observed in *Helianthus*, where the three homoploid hybrids *Helianthus anomalus*, *Helianthus deserticola*, and *Helianthus paradoxus* are all hybrids of two parent species *Helianthus petiolaris* and *Helianthus annuus*, but with different karyotypes in each hybrid species (Rieseberg, 2006).

The C genome was preferentially lost compared with the A genome in both BBAC lineages in our study. In allopolyploids, a phenomenon known as 'biased fractionation' is often observed over evolutionary time, whereby genes from one parental subgenome are preferentially lost (Bird et al., 2018; Emery et al., 2018). Biased fractionation has been reported in Arabidopsis suecica (Chang et al., 2010; Novikova et al., 2017), maize (Zea mays; Schnable et al., 2011), Arabidopsis thaliana (Thomas et al., 2006; Garsmeur et al., 2014), B. rapa (Wang et al., 2011), and cotton (Renny-Byfield et al., 2015). Differences in transposable element density and methylation and the possibility that certain phenotypic traits may largely be under the control of one subgenome could be responsible for biased fractionation, or for the preferential expression of genes from one subgenome (subgenome dominance) which may lead to biased fractionation (Cheng et al., 2016; Bird et al., 2018; Wendel et al., 2018). However, subgenome dominance does not seem to occur in all hybrid and polyploids; no subgenome dominance or evidence of biased fractionation has been observed in wheat, for example (Harper et al., 2016). In B. napus, Chalhoub et al. (2014) did not find any significant bias in gene expression towards the A or C subgenome, despite the fact that the C genome has a higher transposable element density and more methylation than the A genome, and hence would be predicted to be similarly expressed (Wendel et al., 2018). A more recent and comprehensive study by Wu et al. (2018) found a small but significant bias towards expression of genes from the A subgenome over the C subgenome (24% of gene pairs showed A > C compared with 15% showing C > A) in synthetic *B. napus*, but the generalizability of these results to natural B. napus is unknown. Interestingly, preferential loss of the C genome over the A genome has been observed frequently in different interspecific Brassica hybrid types, both for nonhomologous exchanges and whole chromosomes (Zhang et al., 2016; Samans et al., 2017), in line with our results. Possibly, the A genome contains more allelic variants responsible for improved fertility and viability than the C genome does, which would explain the retention of A-genome homeologues in our fertility-selected lines; more agriculturally significant quantitative trail loci also tend to be detected on the A genome relative to the

C genome in natural *B. napus* (e.g. (Luo *et al.*, 2017; Zou *et al.*, 2018), supporting this interpretation.

Chromosome number was highly maintained within a narrow range from the BBAC F_1 hybrids (2*n* = BBAC = 35) to the $S_{5/6}$ generation, by which generation almost all individuals showed between 34 and 36 chromosomes, accompanied by mostly regular meiosis. One important challenge that interspecific hybrids and neopolyploids encounter and must overcome to become established is the problem of incorrect meiotic pairing (Comai, 2005; Grusz et al., 2017; Pelé et al., 2018), specifically between homoeologous chromosomes and chromosome segments belonging to different subgenomes. This problem has been shown to persist for several generations following allopolyploid formation in synthetic B. napus (Xiong et al., 2011), Tragopogon (Chester et al., 2012), and synthetic wheat (Zhang et al., 2013; Gou et al., 2018). Homoeologous chromosome pairing can result in loss of chromosomes and chromosome segments important for fertility and viability, accompanied by loss of the ability to produce 'truebreeding' offspring. It has been proposed that selection for increased fertility should stabilize the genome and reduce the frequency of aneuploid offspring (Tian et al., 2010). In initially unstable synthetic Nicotiana allotetraploid hybrids, the number of regular bivalents increased rapidly to >99% after five generations of self-pollination (Ising, 1966). Similar observations have also been made in synthetic Brassica allotetraploids, albeit with genotype-specific variation (Song et al., 1995; Prakash et al., 2009). In our study, a combination of fertility-based selection and a high frequency of chromosome pairing between the homoeologous A and C-genome chromosomes may have interacted to retain viable chromosome complements.

A strong 'dosage compensation' effect was observed in the BBAC hybrids, as has previously been reported in Brassica (Xiong et al., 2011) and Tragopogon (Chester et al., 2012), where loss of A-genome chromosomes and homoeologous regions were compensated for by the retention of C-genome chromosomes and homoeologous regions and vice versa. Interestingly, we observed no instances in our S₆ generation hybrids where both the A and C genome homoeologue of a particular region were lost, or where both were retained. Only one copy (A or C) for each region of primary homoeology was detected for each of the modal karyotypes assessed in the J1C1 and J1C2 lines. This suggests that the negative effects of copy number variation (i.e. having an extra or missing copy of a homoeologous region relative to the normal dosage level of two copies (2A or 2C, as the third option for two copies of 1A+1C is heterozygous/unstable and hence this was only observed in the early generations)) were extremely strong in these hybrids. As we applied very strong selection pressure for fertility in this project, we may have selected for lines with conserved dosages of A and C genomes. Aneuploidy can upset the expression levels of dosage-sensitive genes, resulting in lowered metabolic efficiency (Chester et al., 2012), and has also previously been linked directly to lowered fertility in Brassica allohexaploid hybrids (Gaebelein et al., 2019). Homoeologous chromosome copy numbers were also preferentially retained in self-pollinating lines of synthetic B. napus, suggesting that individuals with high deviation from chromosome balance had reduced fertility and were selected against during generational advancement (Xiong *et al.*, 2011).

We conclude from this study that hybridization between Brassica allotetraploids sharing one of two genomes can lead to the formation of stable and fertile hybrids following self-pollination over a number of generations. Many authors have previously discussed the impact of homoploid and polyploid speciation and the various ecological, environmental, and genetic factors affecting their formation, maintenance, and diversification (Soltis & Soltis, 1999; Soltis et al., 2003; Mallet, 2005, 2007; Leitch & Leitch, 2008; Levin & Soltis, 2018). However, relatively few experimental studies have demonstrated pathways for homoploid and polyploid hybrid speciation. Using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA/intersimple sequence repeat markers, James & Abbott (2005) and Brennan et al. (2012) showed that Senecio squalidus is a homoploid hybrid formed by hybridization of Senecio aethnensis and Senecio chrysanthemifolius. Studies in wild sunflower suggest the homoploid hybrid Helianthus anomalus arose rapidly (within fewer than 60 generations) by hybridization between Helianthus annuus and Helianthus petiolaris (Ungerer et al., 1998). In allopolyploids, experimental studies have shown that the allotetraploids Tragopogon mirus and Tragopogon miscellus have formed repeatedly within the last 80 yr by hybridization of the three diploid species Tragopogon dubius, Tragopogon pratensis and Tragopogon porrifolius (Soltis et al., 2004; Chester et al., 2012; Lipman et al., 2013). In our study, experimental Brassica hybrids rapidly recovered correct chromosome pairing and maintained chromosome number, and some plants even produced more seeds than the parents. However, the genetic relationship between the genomes seems to be the main contributing factor leading to this result. The haploid genomes of these hybrids were highly restructured and behaved as homologous chromosomes with high levels of chromosome rearrangements. These hybrids could serve as a potentially important genetic resource that could be exploited for breeding purposes through transfer of A-genome introgressions via backcrossing into B. carinata or C-genome introgressions via backcrossing into B. juncea, and also support previously theoretical mechanisms of hybrid speciation (Mirzaghaderi & Mason, 2017; Levin & Soltis, 2018).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by an Emmy Noether Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) grant (MA6473/1-1) and by a 2013 Early Career Researcher Award from The University of Queensland. The authors grate-fully acknowledge the help of Ms. Liane Renno with plant growth, sample collection, and DNA extraction. The Mason lab is partially funded by the DFG under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2070 – 390732324.

Author contributions

EK performed all experiments to generate S_4 - S_6 generation data, performed all data analyses, produced the figures, and drafted the

paper. RG performed all experiments to generate S_3 generation data, and PV-T, Y-TL, ZI and DN performed experiments to produce S_1 and S_2 generation data. JB generated genotyping data and contributed to critical revisions of the manuscript. PV-T also contributed to critical revisions of the manuscript. ASM conceptualized the project, supervised EK, PV-T, Y-TL, ZI and DN, and contributed to critical revisions of the manuscript. All authors approved the manuscript version for submission.

ORCID

Jacqueline Batley D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5391-5824 Annaliese S. Mason D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2701-7964 Paula Vasquez-Teuber D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3070-5656

References

- Abbott R, Albach D, Ansell S, Arntzen JW, Baird SJE, Bierne N, Boughman J, Brelsford A, Buerkle CA, Buggs R *et al.* 2013. Hybridization and speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26: 229–246.
- Alix K, Joets J, Ryder CD, Moore J, Barker GC, Bailey JP, King GJ, (Pat) Heslop-Harrison JS. 2008. The CACTA transposon *Bot1* played a major role in *Brassica* genome divergence and gene proliferation. *The Plant Journal* 56: 1030–1044.
- Attia T, Röbbelen G. 1986. Cytogenetic studies amphihaploids. *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology* 28: 330–334.
- Badaeva ED, Amosova AV, Muravenko OV, Samatadze TE, Chikida NN, Zelenin AV, Friebe B, Gill BS. 2002. Genome differentiation in *Aegilops*. 3. Evolution of the D-genome cluster. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 231: 163–190.
- Badaeva ED, Amosova AV, Samatadze TE, Zoshchuk SA, Shostak NG, Chikida NN, Zelenin AV, Raupp WJ, Friebe B, Gill BS. 2004. Genome differentiation in *Aegilops*. 4. Evolution of the U-genome cluster. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 246: 45–76.
- Bayer PE, Hurgobin B, Golicz AA, Chan CKK, Yuan Y, Lee HT, Renton M, Meng J, Li R, Long Y *et al.* 2017. Assembly and comparison of two closely related *Brassica napus* genomes. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 15: 1602–1610.
- Bird KA, VanBuren R, Puzey JR, Edger PP. 2018. The causes and consequences of subgenome dominance in hybrids and recent polyploids. *New Phytologist* 220: 87–93.
- Brennan AC, Barker D, Hiscock SJ, Abbott RJ. 2012. Molecular genetic and quantitative trait divergence associated with recent homoploid hybrid speciation: a study of *Senecio squalidus* (Asteraceae). *Heredity* 108: 87–95.
- Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin Iap, Tang H, Wang X, Chiquet J, Belcram H, Tong C, Samans B *et al.* 2014. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. *Science* 345: 950–953.
- Chang PL, Dilkes BP, McMahon M, Comai L, Nuzhdin SV. 2010. Homoeolog-specific retention and use in allotetraploid *Arabidopsis suecica* depends on parent of origin and network partners. *Genome Biology* 11: R125.
- Chen LP, Zhang MF, Li CS, Hirata Y. 2005. Production of interspecific somatic hybrids between tuber mustard (*Brassica juncea*) and red cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*). *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 80: 305–311.
- Cheng F, Sun C, Wu J, Schnable J, Woodhouse MR, Liang J, Cai C, Freeling M, Wang X. 2016. Epigenetic regulation of subgenome dominance following whole genome triplication in *Brassica rapa*. New Phytologist 211: 288–299.
- Chester M, Gallagher JP, Symonds VV, Cruz da Silva AV, Mavrodiev EV, Leitch AR, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2012. Extensive chromosomal variation in a recently formed natural allopolyploid species, *Tragopogon miscellus* (Asteraceae). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 109: 1176–1181.
- Clarke WE, Higgins EE, Plieske J, Wieseke R, Sidebottom C, Khedikar Y, Batley J, Edwards D, Meng J, Li R *et al.* 2016. A high-density SNP genotyping array for *Brassica napus* and its ancestral diploid species based on

optimised selection of single-locus markers in the allotetraploid genome. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 129: 1887–1899.

Comai L. 2005. The advantages and disadvantages of being polyploid. Nature Reviews Genetics 6: 836-846.

Dubovets NI, Sycheva YA. 2017. Microevolutionary differentiation of cereal tetraploid species by the formation of recombinant genomes. Russian Journal of Genetics 7: 327-334.

Emery M, Willis MMS, Hao Y, Barry K, Oakgrove K, Peng Y, Schmutz J, Lyons E, Pires JC, Edger PP et al. 2018. Preferential retention of genes from one parental genome after polyploidy illustrates the nature and scope of the genomic conflicts induced by hybridization. PLoS Genetics 14: e1007267.

Fulton TM, Chunwongse J, Tanksley SD. 1995. Microprep protocol for extraction of DNA from tomato and other herbaceous plants. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 13: 207-209.

Gaebelein R, Schiessl SV, Samans B, Batley J, Mason AS. 2019. Inherited allelic variants and novel karyotype changes influence fertility and genome stability in Brassica allohexaploids. New Phytologist 223: 965-978.

Garsmeur O, Schnable JC, Almeida A, Jourda C, D'Hont A, Freeling M. 2014. Two evolutionarily distinct classes of paleopolyploidy. Molecular Biology and Evolution 31: 448-454.

Ge XH, Li ZY. 2007. Intra and intergenomic homology of B-genome chromosomes in trigenomic combinations of the cultivated Brassica species revealed by GISH analysis. Chromosome Research 15: 849-861.

Gou X, Bian Y, Zhang A, Zhang H, Wang B, Lv R, Li J, Zhu B, Gong L, Liu B. 2018. Transgenerationally precipitated meiotic chromosome instability fuels rapid karyotypic evolution and phenotypic diversity in an artificially constructed allotetraploid wheat (AADD). Molecular Biology and Evolution 35: 1078-1091.

Griffiths S, Sharp R, Foote TN, Bertin I, Wanous M, Reader S, Colas I, Moore G. 2006. Molecular characterization of Ph1 as a major chromosome pairing locus in polyploid wheat. Nature 439: 749-752.

Grusz AL, Sigel EM, Witherup C. 2017. Homoeologous chromosome pairing across the eukaryote phylogeny. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 117: 83-94

Harper AL, Trick M, He Z, Clissold L, Fellgett A, Griffiths S, Bancroft I. 2016. Genome distribution of differential homoeologue contributions to leaf gene expression in bread wheat. Plant Biotechnology Journal 14: 1207-1214.

Inaba R, Nishio T. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis of Brassiceae based on the nucleotide sequences of the S-locus related gene, SLR1. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 105: 1159-1165.

Ising G. 1966. Cytogenetic studies in Cyrtanthus. IV. Chromosome morphology in Cyrtanthus luteus Baker (Anoiganthus luteus Baker) and Cyrtanthus breviflorus Harvey (Anoiganthus breviflorus Baker). Hereditas 63: 352-384.

James JK, Abbott RJ. 2005. Recent, allopatric, homoploid hybrid speciation: the origin of Senecio squalidus (Asteraceae) in the British Isles from hybrid zone on Mount Etna, Sicily. Evolution 59: 2533-2547.

Janečka J, Lysak MA. 2016. CHROMDRAW: an R package for visualization of linear and circular karyotypes. Chromosome Research 24: 217-223.

Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, Tomsho LP, Hu Y, Liang H, Soltis PS et al. 2011. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. Nature 473: 97-100.

Karlin S, Altschul SF. 1990. Methods for assessing the statistical significance of molecular sequence features by using general scoring schemes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 87: 2264-2268.

Kimber G, Yen Y. 1988. Analysis of pivotal-differential evolutionary patterns. Evolution 85: 9106-9108.

Lagercrantz U, Lydiate DJ. 1996. Comparative genome mapping in Brassica. Genetics 144: 1903-1910.

Leflon M, Eber F, Letanneur JC, Chelysheva L, Coriton O, Huteau V, Ryder CD, Barker G, Jenczewski E, Chèvre AM. 2006. Pairing and recombination at meiosis of Brassica rapa (AA) × Brassica napus (AACC) hybrids. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 113: 1467-1480.

Leflon M, Grandont L, Eber F, Huteau V, Coriton O, Chelysheva L, Jenczewski E, Chevre A-M. 2010. Crossovers get a boost in Brassica allotriploid and allotetraploid hybrids. Plant Cell Online 22: 2253-2264.

Leitch AR, Leitch IJ. 2008. Genomic plasticity and the diversity of polyploid plants. Science 320: 481-483.

- Levin DA, Soltis DE. 2018. Factors promoting polyploid persistence and diversification and limiting diploid speciation during the K-Pg interlude. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 42: 1-7.
- Lipman MJ, Chester M, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2013. Natural hybrids between Tragopogon mirus and T. miscellus (Asteraceae): a new perspective on karyotypic changes following hybridization at the polyploid level. American Journal of Botany 100: 2016-2022.

Luo Z, Wang M, Long Y, Huang Y, Shi L, Zhang C, Liu X, Fitt BDL, Xiang J, Mason AS et al. 2017. Incorporating pleiotropic quantitative trait loci in dissection of complex traits: seed yield in rapeseed as an example. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 130: 1569-1585.

Mallet J. 2005. Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 20: 229-237.

- Mallet J. 2007. Hybrid speciation. Nature 446: 279-283.
- Mason AS, Batley J, Bayer PE, Hayward A, Cowling WA, Nelson MN. 2014. High-resolution molecular karyotyping uncovers pairing between ancestrally related Brassica chromosomes. New Phytologist 202: 964-974.
- Mason AS, Huteau V, Eber F, Coriton O, Yan G, Nelson MN, Cowling WA, Chèvre A-MM. 2010. Genome structure affects the rate of autosyndesis and allosyndesis in AABC, BBAC and CCAB Brassica interspecific hybrids. Chromosome Research 18: 655–666.
- Mason AS, Nelson MN, Castello MC, Yan G, Cowling WA. 2011a. Genotypic effects on the frequency of homoeologous and homologous recombination in Brassica napus × B. carinata hybrids. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 122: 543-553.
- Mason AS, Nelson MN, Yan G, Cowling WA. 2011b. Production of viable male unreduced gametes in Brassica interspecific hybrids is genotype specific and stimulated by cold temperatures. BMC Plant Biology 11: e103.
- Mason AS, Rousseau-Gueutin M, Morice J, Bayer PE, Besharat N, Cousin A, Pradhan A, Parkin IAP, Chèvre AM, Batley J et al. 2016. Centromere locations in Brassica A and C genomes revealed through half-tetrad analysis. Genetics 202: 513-523.
- Mirzaghaderi G, Mason AS. 2017. Revisiting pivotal-differential genome evolution in wheat. Trends in Plant Science 22: 674-684.

Molnár I, Šimková H, Leverington-Waite M, Goram R, Cseh A, Vrána J, Farkas A, Doležel J, Molnár-Láng M, Griffiths S. 2013. Syntenic relationships between the U and M genomes of Aegilops, wheat and the model species Brachypodium and rice as revealed by COS markers. PLoS ONE 8: e70844.

U N. 1935. Genome analysis in Brassica with special reference to the experimental formation of B. napus and peculiar mode of fertilization. Japanese Iournal of Botany 7: 389-452.

Navabi Z-K, Huebert T, Sharpe AG, O'Neill CM, Bancroft I, Parkin IAP. 2013. Conserved microstructure of the Brassica B genome of Brassica nigra in relation to homologous regions of Arabidopsis thaliana, B. rapa and B. oleracea. BMC Genomics 14: e250.

Navabi ZK, Parkin IAP, Pires JC, Xiong Z, Thiagarajah MR, Good AG, Rahman MH. 2010. Introgression of B-genome chromosomes in a doubled haploid population of Brassica napus × B. carinata. Genome 53: 619-629.

Nelson MN, Mason AS, Castello MC, Thomson L, Yan G, Cowling WA. 2009. Microspore culture preferentially selects unreduced (2n) gametes from an interspecific hybrid of Brassica napus L. × Brassica carinata Braun. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 119: 497-505.

Nicolas SD, Leflon M, Monod H, Eber F, Coriton O, Huteau V, Chevre A-M, Jenczewski E. 2009. Genetic regulation of meiotic cross-overs between related genomes in Brassica napus haploids and hybrids. Plant Cell Online 21: 373-385.

- Novikova PY, Tsuchimatsu T, Simon S, Nizhynska V, Voronin V, Burns R, Fedorenko OM, Holm S, Säll T, Prat E et al. 2017. Genome sequencing reveals the origin of the allotetraploid Arabidopsis suecica. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34: 957-968.
- Panjabi P, Jagannath A, Bisht NC, Lakshmi KL, Sharma S, Gupta V, Pradhan AK, Pental D. 2008. Comparative mapping of Brassica juncea and Arabidopsis thaliana using intron polymorphism (IP) markers: homoeologous relationships, diversification and evolution of the A, B and C Brassica genomes. BMC Genomics 9: e113.
- Pelé A, Rousseau-Gueutin M, Chèvre A-M. 2018. Speciation success of polyploid plants closely relates to the regulation of meiotic recombination. Frontiers in Plant Science 9: e907.

4698137, 2021, 3, Downloaded from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.17225 by Cochrane Germany, Wiley Online Library on [21/11/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://online

nd-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- Prakash S. 1999. 2 Origin and domestication. In: Gómez-Campo C ed. Biology of Brassica coenospecies. Developments in plant genetics and breeding. New York, NY, USA: Elsevier, 4: 33–58
- Prakash S, Bhat SR, Quiros CF, Kirti PB, Chopra VL. 2009. Brassica and its close allies: cytogenetics and evolution. In: Janick J, ed. Plant breeding reviews, vol. 31. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 21–187.
- Ramsey J, Schemske DW. 1998. Pathways, mechanisms, and rates of polyploid formation in flowering plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*. 29: 467–501.
- Renny-Byfield S, Gong L, Gallagher JP, Wendel JF. 2015. Persistence of subgenomes in paleopolyploid cotton after 60 My of evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32: 1063–1071.
- Rieseberg LH. 1997. Hybrid origins of plant species. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 28: 359–389.
- Rieseberg LH. 2006. Hybrid speciation in wild sunflowers. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 93: 34–48.
- Samans B, Chalhoub B, Snowdon RJ. 2017. Surviving a genome collision: genomic signatures of allopolyploidization in the recent crop species *Brassica napus. Plant Genome* 10: doi: 10:3835/plantgenome2017.02.0013.
- Schelfhout CJ, Wroth JM, Yan G, Cowling WA. 2008. Enhancement of genetic diversity in canola-quality *Brassica napus* and *B. juncea* by interspecific hybridisation. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 59: 918–925.
- Schnable JC, Springer NM, Freeling M. 2011. Differentiation of the maize subgenomes by genome dominance and both ancient and ongoing gene loss. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 108: 4069–4074.
- Snowdon RJ, Köhler W, Friedt W, Köhler A. 1997. Genomic in situ hybridization in Brassica amphidiploids and interspecific hybrids. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 95: 1320–1324.
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 1999. Polyploidy: recurrent formation and genome evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14: 348–352.
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Bennett MD, Leitch IJ. 2003. Evolution of genome size in the angiosperms. *American Journal of Botany* **90**: 1596–1603.
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Pires JC, Kovarik A, Tate JA, Mavrodiev E. 2004. Recent and recurrent polyploidy in *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae): cytogenetic, genomic and genetic comparisons. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 82: 485–501.
- Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2009. The role of hybridization in plant speciation. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 60: 561–588.
- Song K, Lu P, Tang K, Osbornt TC. 1995. Rapid genome change in synthetic polyploids of *Brassica* and its implications for polyploid evolution. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 92: 7719–7723.
- Szadkowski E, Eber F, Huteau V, Lodé M, Huneau C, Belcram H, Coriton O, Manzanares-Dauleux MJ, Delourme R, King GJ et al. 2010. The first meiosis of resynthesized *Brassica napus*, a genome blender. *New Phytologist* 186: 102–112.
- Thomas BC, Pedersen B, Freeling M. 2006. Following tetraploidy in an *Arabidopsis* ancestor, genes were removed preferentially from one homeolog leaving clusters enriched in dose-sensitive genes. *Genome Research* 16: 934–946.
- **Tian E, Jiang Y, Chen L, Zou J, Liu F, Meng J. 2010.** Synthesis of a *Brassica* trigenomic allohexaploid (*B. carinata* × *B. rapa*) *de novo* and its stability in subsequent generations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **121**: 1431–1440.
- Ungerer MC, Baird SJ, Pan J, Rieseberg LH. 1998. Rapid hybrid speciation in wild sunflowers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 95: 11757–11762.
- Wang JBO, Wang C, Shi SH, Zhong Y. 2000. Evolution of parental ITS regions of nuclear rDNA in allopolyploid *Aegilops* (Poaceae) species. *Hereditas* 133: 1– 7.
- Wang X, Wang H, Wang J, Sun R, Wu J, Liu S, Bai Y, Mun JH, Bancroft I, Cheng F *et al.* 2011. The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species *Brassica rapa*. *Nature Genetics* 43: 1035–1040.
- Wendel JF, Lisch D, Hu G, Mason AS. 2018. The long and short of doubling down: polyploidy, epigenetics, and the temporal dynamics of genome fractionation. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* 49: 1–7.
- Wu J, Lin L, Xu M, Chen P, Liu D, Sun Q, Ran L, Wang Y. 2018. Homoeolog expression bias and expression level dominance in resynthesized allopolyploid *Brassica napus. BMC Genomics* 19: e586.
- Xiong Z, Gaeta RT, Pires JC. 2011. Homoeologous shuffling and chromosome compensation maintain genome balance in resynthesized allopolyploid *Brassica napus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 108: 7908–7913.

- Zhang H, Bian Y, Gou X, Zhu B, Xu C, Qi B, Li N, Rustgi S, Zhou H, Han F et al. 2013. Persistent whole-chromosome aneuploidy is generally associated with nascent allohexaploid wheat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 110: 3447–3452.
- Zhang P, Hiebert CW, McIntosh RA, McCallum BD, Thomas JB, Hoxha S, Singh D, Bansal U. 2016. The relationship of leaf rust resistance gene *Lr13* and hybrid necrosis gene *Ne2m* on wheat chromosome 2BS. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 129: 485–493.
- Zohary D, Feldman M. 1962. Hybridization between amphiploids and the evolution of polyploids in the wheat (*Aegilops–Triticum*) group. *Evolution* 16: 44–61.
- Zou J, Hu D, Mason AS, Shen X, Wang X, Wang N, Grandke F, Wang M, Chang S, Snowdon RJ et al. 2018. Genetic changes in a novel breeding population of *Brassica napus* synthesized from hundreds of crosses between *B. rapa* and *B. carinata. Plant Biotechnology Journal* 16: 507–519.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Dataset S1 Fertility data collected for BBAC hybrids from the cross *Brassica juncea* \times *B. carinata* (genotypes J1C1 and J1C2) and their parent controls over six generations of self-pollination.

Dataset S2 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 90K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- B- and C- genomes on the *Brassica napus* Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' × *Brassica carinata* 'C1' hybrids in the fifth (BBAC S_5) and sixth (BBAC S_6) selfing generation.

Dataset S3 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 90K SNP genotyping array data for the Brassica A- B- and C- genomes on the *Brassica napus* Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' × *Brassica carinata* 'C2' hybrids in the fifth (BBAC S_5) and sixth (BBAC S_6) selfing generation.

Dataset S4 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the *Brassica* napus Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' \times *Brassica carinata* 'C1' hybrids in the first (BBAC S1) selfing generation.

Dataset S5 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the *Brassica* napus Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' \times *Brassica carinata* 'C2' hybrids in the first (BBAC S1) selfing generation.

Dataset S6 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the *Brassica* napus Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' × *Brassica carinata* 'C1' hybrids in the first (BBAC S₂) selfing generation.

Dataset S7 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the *Brassica napus* Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for

New Phytologist

Brassica juncea 'J1' \times *Brassica carinata* 'C1' hybrids in the first (BBAC S₂) selfing generation.

Dataset S8 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 90K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the *Brassica napus* Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' × *Brassica carinata* 'C1' hybrids in the first (BBAC S₃) selfing generation.

Dataset S9 Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP genotyping array data for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the *Brassica napus* Darmor-bzh v8.1 and *B. nigra* reference genomes for *Brassica juncea* 'J1' \times *Brassica carinata* 'C1' hybrids in the first (BBAC S₃) selfing generation.

Dataset S10 Inferred primary homoeologous regions between the *Brassica* A and C genomes based on Illumina Infinium array single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping.

Fig. S1 Genetic changes in *Brassica juncea* \times *Brassica carinata* self-pollinated S₂ interspecific hybrids as detected from Illumina Infinium *Brassica* 60K SNP array data.

Fig. S2 Fertility of *Brassica juncea* \times *B. carinata* interspecific hybrids in S₃, S₄ and S₅ generations of self-pollination with selection for fertility relative to their parent genotypes (*B. juncea* 'J1', *B. carinata* 'C1' and *B. carinata* 'C2').

Fig. S3 A- and C- genome presence and absence based on SNP marker genotyping in hybrids derived from the cross *B. juncea* \times *B. carinata* (J1C1 genotype) following two generations of self-pollination (BBAC S₂) and selection for fertility.

Fig. S4 A- and C- genome presence and absence based on SNP marker genotyping in hybrids derived from the cross *B. juncea* \times

B. carinata (J1C2 genotype) following two generations of self-pollination (BBAC S_2) and selection for fertility.

Fig. S5 Number of genetic changes in different generations of *B. juncea* \times *B. carinata* J1C1 and J1C2 interspecific hybrids relative to the F₁ generation.

Table S1 Putative centromere locations for the *Brassica* A- and C- genomes on the Darmor-*bzh* v8.1 reference genome estimated using half-tetrad analysis of mapping populations of *Brassica juncea* \times *Brassica napus* (AABC) and *Brassica carinata* \times *Brassica napus* (CCAB) hybrids.

Table S2 Meiotic chromosome pairing configurations of hybrids produced from the cross *Brassica juncea* \times *Brassica carinata* followed by six generations of self-pollination (BBAC S₆) for two genotypes 'J1C1' and 'J1C2'.

Table S3 Seed information for self-pollinated *B. juncea* \times *B. carinata* hybrids from S₁ – S₆ showing the number of plants which were grown in each generation and under which condition and the number SNP genotyped.

Table S4 Meiotic pairing of F_1 , S_4 , S_5 and S_6 generation hybrid plants.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.