www.eurjoc.org

# Regioselective Synthesis of *meta*-Tetraaryl-Substituted Adamantane Derivatives and Evaluation of Their White Light Emission

Saravanan Gowrisankar,<sup>[a, b]</sup> Bastian Bernhardt,<sup>[a, b]</sup> Jonathan Becker,<sup>[c]</sup> and Peter R. Schreiner<sup>\*[a]</sup>

In memory of Klaus Hafner

New *meta*-substituted tetraaryl adamantane derivatives were synthesized through one-step Friedel-Crafts adamantylation by using AlCl<sub>3</sub> in combination with *t*-butyl bromide. The products

### Introduction

The selective modifications of adamantane molecules and their physical properties have been studied<sup>[1]</sup> widely to make them applicable to medicinal<sup>[2]</sup> as well as material chemistry,<sup>[3]</sup> and catalysis.<sup>[4]</sup> Adamantane tectons have been used as highly thermally stable material building blocks<sup>[5]</sup> and polymer components.<sup>[6]</sup> A highly suitable approach for connecting adamantyl moieties to, e.g., aryl scaffolds is through Friedel-Crafts (FC) chemistry<sup>[7]</sup> of arenes with adamantyl halides or alcohols.<sup>[8]</sup> There are systematic studies of this reaction type in the presence of various catalysts such as  $\text{FeCl}_{3'}^{[9]}$  Hf(OTf)<sub>4'</sub><sup>[10]</sup> Pd/ C,<sup>[11]</sup> InCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[12]</sup> CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H,<sup>[13]</sup> H<sub>3</sub>[P(M<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (M=Mo, W),<sup>[14]</sup> Ga(OTf)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[15]</sup> B(OTf)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[16]</sup> and super acids<sup>[17]</sup> for the monoadamantylation of substituted benzenes; the para-isomers hereby form preferentially. The first tetraarylation of adamantane was published by Newman using AICl<sub>3</sub> as catalyst and 1-bromoadamantane (2) as the reactant.<sup>[8a]</sup> Later, tetraarylation with substituted benzenes was reported as a two-step approach, e.g., by synthesizing 1,3,5,7-tetrakis(m-bromophenyl)adamantane from 2 via 1,3,5,7tetrabromoadamantane in the presence of AlBr<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst. Here, the meta-isomer forms (70%) in slight preference over the para-isomer in a total of 50%.[18] In general, the selective

[a]	Dr. S. Gowrisankar, B. Bernhardt, Prof. Dr. P. R. Schreiner Institute of Organic Chemistry Justus Liebig University,
	Heinrich-Buff-Ring 17, 35392 Giessen, Germany
	E-mail: prs@uni-giessen.de
	https://www.uni-giessen.de/schreiner
[b]	Dr. S. Gowrisankar, B. Bernhardt
	Center for Materials Research,

Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, 35392 Giessen, Germany [c] Dr. J. Becker

Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Justus Liebig University, 35392 Giessen, Germany

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.202101366
- Part of the "Special Collection in Memory of Klaus Hafner".
- © 2021 The Authors. European Journal of Organic Chemistry published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

exhibit improved (over tetraphenyl adamantane) highly directional white-light emission upon irradiation with a continuous wave (CW) laser diode.

preparation of *meta*-substituted tetraaryl adamantane derivatives is challenging and typically requires FC adamantylation (*a*) followed by *meta* C–H activation (*b*) (Scheme 1). A selective one-step synthesis of *all-meta*-tetrasubstituted aryl adamantane derivatives from adamantane has not been reported.

The motivation for developing a procedure for this substitution pattern derives from the recent finding that tetraphenyl adamantane  $(AdPh_4)^{[19]}$  and inorganic adamantane-like clusters  $[(R-PhSn)_4S_6]^{[20]}$  entered the field of organic light emitters with remarkable nonlinear optical properties.<sup>[21]</sup> As these can be fine-tuned through the stereoelectronic effects of the phenyl substituents, convenient synthesis of such derivatives also has a practical dimension.

## **Results and Discussion**

We first investigated the reaction of adamantane (1) with fluorobenzene in the presence of various Lewis acids to explore the reaction conditions (Table 1). There are only a few examples of fluoroaryl substituted adamantane derivatives; the bromo,<sup>[22]</sup> iodo,<sup>[18a,23]</sup> methoxy<sup>[24]</sup> and cyano<sup>[25]</sup> derivatives are well known. A catalytic amount of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (0.1 equiv.) proved ineffective for tetrasubstitution at room temperature. The major product was monoarylated *m*-fluorophenyladamantane and *o/p*-fluorophenyladamantane with complete conversion of 1. Increasing the amount of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (0.3 equiv.) without additives led to 1,3-di(*m*fluorophenyl)adamantane also with 100% conversion. As found



Scheme 1. Approaches to the arylation of adamantane with a *meta*-substitution pattern.

benzene.

	1	Reaction Conditions	(ortho), 4 (pa	F F F ara), 5 (meta)			R 1, R = H 2, R = E	$R_1$ $R_2$ $R_3$	
Entry	Catalyst <sup>[a]</sup> [equiv.]	Additives [equiv.]	Time [h]	Conv [%]	lsome [%] <b>3/4</b>	r dist. <sup>[b]</sup> 5	Entry 1 2	Starting mat	
1 2	AICI <sub>3</sub> (0.5) AIBr <sub>3</sub> (0.5)	t-BuBr (4.0) t-BuBr (4.0)	16 3	100 100	10 20	75 55	345	- 1 1	
5 4 5	$MeSO_{3}H$ (0.5) $PCI_{5}$ (0.5)	<i>t</i> -BuBr (4.0) <i>t</i> -BuBr (4.0) <i>t</i> -BuBr (4.0)	16 16 16	5 8	-	-	67	1	
6 7 8	TiCl <sub>4</sub> (0.5) Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (0.1) Pd/C (0.1)	t-BuBr (4.0) t-BuBr (4.0) t-BuBr (4.0)	16 16 16	10 8 5	-	- - -	8 9 10	1 1 1	
9 10 11 12	$AICI_{3}$ (0.5) $AICI_{3}$ (0.5) $AICI_{3}$ (0.5) $AICI_{3}$ (0.5)	PrBr (4.0) <i>i</i> -PrBr (4.0) CCl <sub>3</sub> Br (4.0) <i>p</i> -BuBr (4.0)	16 16 16 16	66 41 10 50		- - -	[a] Re BuBr with a	[a] Reaction conditio BuBr (4.0 equiv.), subs with additional amou	
13 [a] Reac (4 mL), GCMS,	AICI <sub>3</sub> (0.5) tion conditions: rt. [b] The progr	s-BuBr (4.0) 1 (500 mg, 3.6 ress of the rea	16 8 mmol), ction wa	80 , fluorobe as monito	– nzene a pred by	– as solvent <sup>19</sup> F-NMR/	much	n success. Hig	

Table 1. Screening of catalysts/conditions for adamantylation of fluoro-

previously, addition of 4.0 equiv. t-BuBr facilitates tetraarylation in the presence of AICI3<sup>[8a]</sup> but, to our pleasant surprise, leads to 1,3,5,7-tetrakis(*m*-fluorophenyl)adamantane (5) at room temperature (Table 1, entry 1). The products originate from the fact that the tertiary adamantyl cation is more stable than the tbutyl cation. Equally, the adamantylated products are thermodynamically more stable than the *t*-butylated products. We detected only a trace amount of 2-(t-butyl)fluorobenzene in the GCMS data. With AlBr<sub>3</sub> the yield is significantly lower (Table 1, entry 2). Various other catalysts (Table 1, entries 3-8) did not provide the desired product but inseparable mixtures instead. Similarly, none of the other additives gave 5 (Table 1, entries 9-13). We also varied the substrate as indicated in Table 2, by utilizing 4.0 equiv. t-BuBr in the presence of 0.5 equiv. AlCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature. With 1 or 2 as starting materials, we obtained 5 in 65% and 60% yield with only trace amounts of the ortho/para-isomers (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). 1,2-Difluorobenzene, o-fluorotoluene, *m*-fluorotoluene, and benzene afforded good to excellent yields (Table 2, entries 3-6). Bromobenzene, o-xylene, m-xylene, and o-fluorotoluene provided exclusively meta-substituted triaryl adamantane derivatives in good yields (Table 2, entries 7-10). If allowed to react significantly longer (48 h instead of 16 h), 7 (entry 4) forms via 13 (entry 10) upon adding 0.2 equiv. of AlCl<sub>3</sub> and 2.0 mL of ofluorotoluene. An important factor that affects the formation of tetraaryl adamantane derivatives may be the insolubility of some of the triaryl adamantane intermediates that causes them to precipitate so that the fourth substitution does not take place. To increase their solubility, we explored the ionic liquids [BMIM][OTf] and [EMIM][ESO<sub>4</sub>] as co-solvents<sup>[29]</sup> but without





er temperatures (70, 90, and 100 °C) only led to mixtures of complex products.<sup>[18b]</sup>

The NMR analysis of the products was very difficult because they are extremely sparingly soluble in many organic solvents. Thus, the products were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements to firmly identify their structures (Figure 1; for details see Supporting Information). By dissolving the products in o-xylene, refluxing them at 160°C overnight and letting them cool very slowly, we obtained crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>[24]</sup>

For a complementary synthesis of 1,3,5,7-tetrakis(pfluorophenyl)adamantane (4), we also explored using t-BuBr as an additive in combination with InCl<sub>3</sub>, which is a common and well-explored FC alkylation catalyst.<sup>[12]</sup> Indeed, at 85 °C, InCl<sub>3</sub> efficiently catalyzes the formation of 4 in 59% yield (Figure 1). Analogous reaction conditions at room temperature gave pfluorophenyladamantane in low yield. This result may be due to kinetic control as a likely explanation for the preferential formation of the *p*-isomer, which is, according to our B3LYP- $D3(BJ)/6-311 + + G(3df,3pd)^{[26]}$  computations 1.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> less stable than 5 at 298 and 358 K. However, a control experiment in which a 1:1 mixture of 4 and 5 was allowed to react with InCl<sub>3</sub> or AlCl<sub>3</sub> did not indicate changes in the equilibrium ratio after 24 h. This does not exclude kinetic control but the origin of this selectivity apparently lies in the key interactions in the transition structures with the catalysts.

With the target compounds in hand, we set out to evaluate the non-linear optical responses of all fluoro-compounds (4-8).<sup>[20]</sup> We previously established that continuous wave (cw) laser irradiated powdered AdPh<sub>4</sub> (9) emits a white light continuum. Gratifyingly, 4-7 emit white light with different intensities (Figure 2) upon 900 nm cw-laser irradiation (728 mW) shown as the photopic function<sup>[27]</sup> (the original spectra can be found in the Supporting Information). Compound 8 only displays very low intensity. In the context of unraveling the hitherto not fully



Figure 1. Molecular structures of 4–13 determined by X-ray single crystal structure analysis. H atoms omitted for clarity, anisotropic displacement ellipsoids set to 50% probability.



**Figure 2.** Nonlinear optical response of the powders of **4–9**. Upon irradiation with 900 nm cw-laser light, the powders emit white light (photopic presentation).

understood WLG mechanism, these findings will help us develop a better working hypothesis for this remarkable effect that is described in detail elsewhere.<sup>[28]</sup> In brief, we currently assume that WLG depends on the compounds' habitus and on the nature of the substituents. Obtaining amorphous materials thereby is key for supercontinuum generation and thus the emission of a broad light spectrum. Importantly, the emission retains the driving laser's directionality, a feature that is highly desirable for future applications. Crystalline samples, on the other hand lead to second harmonic generation, indicating a strong connection of the optical properties with the intermolecular order in the solid state.

Significant for the present study is that the intensity and maximum emission wave length is sensitive to the substitution pattern so that it can, in turn, be tuned by chemical modification. This allows the design of WLG emitters on the

basis of chemical synthesis utilizing empirical rules to fine-tune the electronic properties.

#### Conclusion

We report a new *meta*-selective adamantane tetraarylation with substituted benzenes. This Friedel-Crafts type reaction produces high yields of all-*meta*-tetrafluorophenyl adamantane in the presence of *t*-BuBr as the additive and AlCl<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst. InCl<sub>3</sub> as catalyst produces only the *para*-isomer. Upon laser irradiation powders of **4–9** emit white light, also with increased intensity over parent **9**, and a small blue shift in the maximum emission, indicating that the photophysical response of these new materials can be understood on the basis of simple modifications of the core structure.

#### **Experimental Section**

All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 400 MHz (AV 400) spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm relative to residual solvent peaks.

General procedure: A mixture of adamantane (500 mg, 1.0 equiv., 3.68 mmol), t-BuBr (1.65 mL, 4.0 equiv., 14.72 mmol) in 4 mL of substituted benzene was added in an oven-dried round bottomed flask. AlCl<sub>3</sub> (244 mg, 0.5 equiv., 1.84 mmol) was added in small portions. The reaction was left stirring at room temperature for 16 h. During the reaction, evolving HCl gas (white smoke, caution!) was passed through a second flask containing concentrated aqueous sodium bisulfite. After 16 h, methanol was added to the heterogeneous reaction mixture. The crude solid precipitate was washed with 20 mL of DCM/methanol (1:3) four times. The desired

product was insoluble in chloroform, DCM, and methanol and partially soluble in toluene and o-xylene at elevated temperatures. The product was dissolved in o-xylene at 160°C overnight and recrystallized by slow cooling to room temperature.

Measurement of the nonlinear optical response: For excitation a CW solid-state laser diode (Roithner RTLMDL-980-1W) with a wavelength of 980 nm was used. Measurements were performed with a maximum power of 728 mW at the sample position. The samples were placed in a small vacuum cell equipped with glass windows and kept at a pressure below  $10^{-1}$  mbar. The vacuum cell was placed under a custom build confocal microscope with a 4x objective (Olympus RMS4X). The light from the sample was separated from the laser with a dichroic mirror (Thorlabs DMLP900R) and focussed onto the face of an optical fiber leading to the spectrometer using a silver-coated off-axis parabolic mirror. A dielectric short pass filter (Thorlabs FESH900) was placed in front of the fiber to further attenuate the fundamental laser line. The light was then detected using a miniature spectrometer with integrated grating (OceanOptics USB650, 350–1000 nm).

Crystallographic data: Details of the X-ray analyses and crystallographic data can be found in the Supporting Information.

Deposition numbers 2105902 (for 4), 2105904 (for 5), 2105901 (for 6), 2105907 (for 7), 2105900 (for 8), 2105908 (for 10), 2105906 (for 11), 2105905 (for 12), and 2105903 (for 13) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FOR 2824). The authors wish to acknowledge Sangam Chatterjee and Marius Müller for their help and advice with the laser measurements. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

**Keywords:** Alkylation · Amorphous materials · Electrophilic substitution · Fluorine · Hydrocarbons

a) R. C. Fort, P. v. R. Schleyer, Chem. Rev. 1964, 64, 277–300; b) M. N. R.
Ashfold, P. W. May, C. A. Rego, N. M. Everitt, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 23, 21–30; c) H. Schwertfeger, A. A. Fokin, P. R. Schreiner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1022–1036; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 1038–1053; d) M. A.
Gunawan, J.-C. Hierso, D. Poinsot, A. A. Fokin, N. A. Fokina, B. A.
Tkachenko, P. R. Schreiner, New J. Chem. 2014, 38, 28–41; e) K.-W.

Yeung, Y. Dong, L. Chen, C.-Y. Tang, W.-C. Law, G. C.-P. Tsui, Nanotechnol. Rev. 2020, 9, 650–669.

- [2] a) W. L. Davies, R. R. Grunert, R. F. Haff, J. W. McGahen, E. M. Neumayer, M. Paulshock, J. C. Watts, T. R. Wood, E. C. Hermann, C. E. Hoffmann, *Science* 1964, 144, 862–863; b) R. Dolin, R. C. Reichman, H. P. Madore, R. Maynard, P. N. Linton, J. Webber-Jones, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1982, 307, 580– 584; c) G. Lamoureux, G. Artavia, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2010, 17, 2967–2978; d) J. Liu, D. Obando, V. Liao, T. Lifa, R. Codd, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 46, 1949–1963; e) L. Wanka, K. Iqbal, P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113, 3516–3604.
- [3] a) P.-L. E. Chu, L.-Y. Wang, S. Khatua, A. B. Kolomeisky, S. Link, J. M. Tour, ACS Nano 2013, 7, 35–41; b) M. A. Gunawan, D. Poinsot, B. Domenichini, P. R. Schreiner, A. A. Fokin, J.-C. Hierso, in Chemistry of Organo-Hybrids (Eds.: B. Charleux, C. Copéret, E. Lacôte), John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2014, pp. 69–113; c) Y. Zhou, A. D. Brittain, D. Kong, M. Xiao, Y. Meng, L. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. C 2015, 3, 6947–6961; d) X. Li, H. Zhang, P. Zhang, Y. Yu, Chem. Mater. 2018, 30, 3752–3758; e) C. Wang, Y. Wang, R. Ge, X. Song, X. Xing, Q. Jiang, H. Lu, C. Hao, X. Guo, Y. Gao, D. Jiang, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 585–589; f) H. Nasrallah, J.-C. Hierso, Chem. Mater. 2019, 31, 619–642; g) H. O. Nasrallah, Y. Min, E. Lerayer, T.-A. Nguyen, D. Poinsot, J. Roger, S. Brandès, O. Heintz, P. Roblin, F. Jolibois, R. Poteau, Y. Coppel, M. L. Kahn, I. C. Gerber, M. R. Axet, P. Serp, J.-C. Hierso, JACS Au 2021, 1, 187–200.
- [4] a) A. Tewari, M. Hein, A. Zapf, M. Beller, *Tetrahedron* 2005, *61*, 9705–9709; b) H. Schwertfeger, M. M. Machuy, C. Würtele, J. E. P. Dahl, R. M. K. Carlson, P. R. Schreiner, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2010, *352*, 609–615; c) Q. Fang, S. Gu, J. Zheng, Z. Zhuang, S. Qiu, Y. Yan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 2878–2882; *Angew. Chem.* 2014, *126*, 2922–2926; d) X. Wang, S.-m. Lu, J. Li, Y. Liu, C. Li, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 2585–2589; e) K. A. Agnew-Francis, C. M. Williams, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2016, *358*, 673–673; f) H. Li, Q. Pan, Y. Ma, X. Guan, M. Xue, Q. Fang, Y. Yan, V. Valtchev, S. Qiu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 14783–14788.
- [5] a) M. Vasylyev, R. Neumann, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 2781–2783; b) M. V. Vasylyev, E. J. Wachtel, R. Popovitz-Biro, R. Neumann, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 3507–3514; c) C. I. Schilling, S. Bräse, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 3586–3588; d) A. A. Fokin, P. R. Schreiner, Mol. Phys. 2009, 107, 823–830; e) L. Landt, W. Kielich, D. Wolter, M. Staiger, A. Ehresmann, T. Möller, C. Bostedt, Phys. Rev. B 2009, 80, 205323; f) L. Landt, C. Bostedt, D. Wolter, T. Möller, J. E. P. Dahl, R. M. K. Carlson, B. A. Tkachenko, A. A. Fokin, P. R. Schreiner, A. Kulesza, R. Mitrić, V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 144305; g) A. Thomas, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8328–8344; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 8506–8523; h) A. G. Slater, A. I. Cooper, Science 2015, 348, aaa8075.
- [6] a) A. Matsumoto, S. Tanaka, T. Otsu, *Macromolecules* 1991, 24, 4017–4024; b) J. J. Jensen, M. Grimsley, L. J. Mathias, J. Polym. Sci. Part A 1996, 34, 397–402; c) O. Plietzsch, C. I. Schilling, M. Tolev, M. Nieger, C. Richert, T. Muller, S. Bräse, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 4734–4743; d) Y. Chen, A. J. H. Spiering, S. Karthikeyan, G. W. M. Peters, E. W. Meijer, R. P. Sijbesma, Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 559–562; e) G. A. Senchyk, A. B. Lysenko, I. Boldog, E. B. Rusanov, A. N. Chernega, H. Krautscheid, K. V. Domasevitch, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 8675–8689; f) C. Moers, R. Wrazidlo, A. Natalello, I. Netz, M. Mondeshki, H. Frey, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2014, 35, 1075–1080; g) W. Xia, L. Huang, X. Huang, Y. Wang, C. Lu, G. Yang, Z. Chen, J. Nie, J. Mol. Catal. A 2016, 412, 93–100; h) T. Mocanu, L. Pop, N. D. Hădade, S. Shova, I. Grosu, M. Andruh, CrystEngComm 2017, 19, 27–31; i) C. Sinkel, S. Agarwal, N. A. Fokina, P. R. Schreiner, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2009, 114, 2109–2115.
- [7] a) C. Friedel, J. M. Crafts, J. Chem. Soc. 1877, 32, 725–791; b) C. Friedel, J. M. Crafts, Compt. Rend. 1877, 84, 1392; c) C. Friedel, J. M. Crafts, Compt. Rend. 1877, 84, 1450; d) in Friedel-Crafts and Related Reactions, Vol. Vols. I–IV (Ed.: G. A. Olah), Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1964; e) J. Gosselck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1965, 4, 177–177; Angew. Chem. 1965, 77, 144–145; f) G. A. Olah, Friedel-Crafts Chemistry, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1973; g) R. M. Roberts, A. A. Khalaf, in Friedel-Crafts Alkylation Chemistry: A Century of Discovery, Dekker: New York, 1984; h) G. A. Olah, R. Krishnamurthi, G. K. S. Prakash, in Friedel-Crafts Alkylations in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis (Eds.: B. M. Trost, I. Fleming), Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; i) M. Bandini, A. Melloni, A. Umani-Ronchi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 550–556; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 560–566; j) G. Sartori, R. Maggi, in Advances in Friedel-Crafts Acylation Reactions, Catalytic And Green Processes, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton/London/New York, 2010.
- [8] a) H. Newman, Synthesis 1972, 692–693; b) B. Charpentier, J.-M. Bernardon, J. Eustache, C. Millois, B. Martin, S. Michel, B. Shroot, J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 4993–5006; c) M. Rueping, B. J. Nachtsheim, Beilstein J.



Ora. Chem. 2010, 6, 6; d) A. Wrona-Piotrowicz, A. Makal, J. Zakrzewski, J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 11134-11139; e) N. N. Yogeesha, N. Swarnagowri, Y.F. Nadaf, S.S. Nitinkumar, L.G. Santosh, Lett. Org. Chem. 2020, 17, 491-506

- [9] T. J. Broxton, G. Capper, L. W. Deady, A. Lenko, R. D. Topsom, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1972, 1237-1240.
- [10] H. Iwao, M. Mitsuhiro, K. Shu, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 2053-2060.
- [11] a) H. Stetter, J. Weber, C. Wulff, Chem. Ber. 1964, 97, 3488-3492; b) S. Bräse, B. Waegell, A. de Meijere, Synthesis 1998, 148–152.
- [12] P. Mosset, R. Grée, Synlett 2013, 24, 1142-1146.
- [13] a) V. A. Sokolenko, N. M. Svirskaya, N. I. Pavlenko, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 43, 782-783; b) K. K. Laali, V. D. Sarca, T. Okazaki, A. Brock, P. Der, Ora. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 1034-1042.
- [14] S. Krackl, A. Company, Y. Aksu, D. Avnir, M. Driess, ChemCatChem 2011, 3, 227-232.
- [15] G. K. Surya Prakash, P. Yan, B. Török, I. Bucsi, M. Tanaka, G. A. Olah, Catal. Lett. 2003, 85, 1-6.
- [16] G. A. Olah, O. Farooq, S. M. F. Farnia, A. H. Wu, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1516-1522.
- [17] G. A. Olah, B. Török, T. Shamma, M. Török, G. K. S. Prakash, Catal. Lett. 1996, 42, 5-13.
- [18] a) L. J. Mathias, V. R. Reichert, A. V. G. Muir, Chem. Mater. 1993, 5, 4-5; b) V. R. Reichert, L. J. Mathias, Macromolecules 1994, 27, 7015-7023.
- [19] N. W. Rosemann, H. Locke, P. R. Schreiner, S. Chatterjee, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2018, 6, 1701162.
- [20] N. W. Rosemann, J. P. Eußner, A. Beyer, S. W. Koch, K. Volz, S. Dehnen, S. Chatterjee, Science 2016, 352, 1301-1304.
- [21] a) N. W. Rosemann, J. P. Eußner, E. Dornsiepen, S. Chatterjee, S. Dehnen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 16224-16227; b) E. Dornsiepen, F. Dobener,

S. Chatterjee, S. Dehnen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 17041-17046; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 17197-17202; c) E. Dornsiepen, F. Dobener, N. Mengel, O. Lenchuk, C. Dues, S. Sanna, D. Mollenhauer, S. Chatterjee, S. Dehnen, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2019, 7, 1801793.

- W. Lu, D. Yuan, D. Zhao, C. I. Schilling, O. Plietzsch, T. Muller, S. Bräse, J. [22] Guenther, J. Blümel, R. Krishna, Z. Li, H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 5964-5972
- [23] L. H. Xie, M. P. Suh, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 11590-11597.
- [24] A. Schwenger, W. Frey, C. Richert, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 8781-8789.
- [25] a) I. Boldog, K. V. Domasevitch, I. A. Baburin, H. Ott, B. Gil-Hernández, J. Sanchiz, C. Janiak, CrystEngComm 2013, 15, 1235-1243; b) C. Shen, H. Yu, Z. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 11238-11241.
- [26] a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 88, 1053-1062; b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789; c) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456-1465.
- [27] a) A. Lita, A. L. Washington II, L. van de Burgt, G. F. Strouse, A. E. Stiegman, Adv. Mater. 2010, 22, 3987-3991; b) F. Li, L. You, C. Nie, Q. Zhang, X. Jin, H. Li, X. Gu, Y. Huang, Q. Li, Opt. Express 2017, 25, 21901-21913.
- [28] S. Dehnen, P. R. Schreiner, S. Chatterjee, K. Volz, N. W. Rosemann, W.-C. Pilgrim, D. Mollenhauer, S. Sanna, ChemPhotoChem 2021, 5, 1033-1041.
- [29] [BMIM][OTf] = 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate, [EMIM][ESO<sub>4</sub>] = 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethyl sulfate.

Manuscript received: November 10, 2021 Revised manuscript received: December 7, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: December 9, 2021